arXiv:1303.4099v1 [math.DS] 17 Mar 2013

CODIMENSION ONE PARTIALLY HYPERBOLIC DIFFEOMORPHISMS WITH A UNIFORMLY COMPACT CENTER FOLIATION

DORIS BOHNET

Abstract. We consider a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism $f: M \to M$ with a uniformly compact f-invariant center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$. We show that if the unstable bundle is one-dimensional and oriented, then the holonomy of the center foliation vanishes everywhere, the quotient space $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ of the center foliation is a torus and f induces a hyperbolic automorphism on it, in particular, f is centrally transitive.
We actually obtain further interesting results without restrictions on the unstable, stable and center dimension: We prove a kind of spectral decomposition for the chain recurrent set of the quotient dynamic, and we establish the existence of a holonomy invariant family of measures on the unstable leaves (Margulis measure).

1. Introduction

The aim of this article is a classification of partially hyperbolic systems with one-dimensional unstable (or stable) direction under the additional assumption of a uniformly compact center foliation. A C^1-diffeomorphism $f: M \to M$ is called *partially hyperbolic* if there exists an invariant, non-trivial decomposition of the tangent bundle

$$TM = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u, \quad d_x f E^\alpha(x) = E^\alpha(f(x)), \ \alpha = s, c, u,$$

and if for every $x \in M$ and all unit vectors $v^s \in E^s(x), v^u \in E^u(x)$ and $v^c \in E^c(x)$ it holds

$$\|d_x f(v^s)\| < \|d_x f(v^c)\| < \|d_x f(v^u)\|, \ \|d_x f(v^s)\| < 1 < \|d_x f(v^u)\|.$$

The stable and unstable bundle E^s and E^u are uniquely integrable to f-invariant stable and unstable foliation $\mathcal{F}^s$ and $\mathcal{F}^u$. By contrast, the center bundle E^c is in general not uniquely or even weakly integrable (cp. [Wil98, HRHU10]). We assume in the following that there exists a uniformly compact f-invariant center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$ everywhere tangent to the center bundle E^c.
Recall that every Anosov diffeomorphism with a one-dimensional unstable direction is conjugate to a hyperbolic toral automorphism (see [Fra70, New70]). The hypothesis of a compact center foliation allows us to recover (topological) hyperbolicity for the induced dynamics on the quotient space of center leaves such that we can follow the lines of the classical result and show that the induced dynamic on the quotient is actually conjugate to a hyperbolic toral diffeomorphism.

Date: March 20, 2013.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37D30,37C15.
Key words and phrases. Partial hyperbolicity, center foliation, uniformly compact foliation, transitivity, Margulis measure.
This paper was partially supported by the *Forschungsfond* of the Department of Mathematics and the *Körperschaftsvermögen* from the Universität Hamburg as well as by the mathematical institut IMB of the Université de Bourgogne and substantially by the *Région Bourgogne* thanks to the scholarship for her Postdoc.

The difficulties arise at two places: First, the center holonomy may not be trivial so that the induced dynamic is certainly not hyperbolic (see example in [BB112]), so the first step is to show that the holonomy group is trivial (if the unstable bundle is oriented). Second, the quotient space is a priori a topological manifold without a differentiable structure and the induced dynamic is not differentiable, so we pass usually to the manifold M whenever differentiability is needed for the arguments. Important tools to circumvene these difficulties are the dynamical coherence for f and the Shadowing Lemma for orbits of center leaves proved in [BB112] which allows us to prove the central transitivity of f (if the unstable bundle is one-dimensional) which directly implies the triviality of the center holonomy. Further, dynamical coherence means that center stable and center unstable foliations exist, and they can be projected to stable and unstable (topological) foliations on the quotient space. The structural stability, also proved in [BB112], permits the perturbation of the diffeomorphism.

Let us now state the main result of this article:

Theorem 1. *Let $f : M \to M$ be a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism on a compact smooth connected manifold M. Assume that the center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$ is a f-invariant uniformly compact foliation and $\dim E^u = 1$. Then the following statements hold:*

1. *The diffeomorphism f is centrally transitive, i.e. there exists a dense orbit of center leaves.*
2. *Center leaves with non-trivial holonomy are isolated. Every non-trivial holonomy homeomorphism is conjugate to $-\mathrm{id}$.*
3. *If E^u is oriented, then $\pi : M \to M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is a fiber bundle, the leaf space $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is $\mathbb{T}^q$, where $q = \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}^c$ and f projects to $F : \mathbb{T}^q \to \mathbb{T}^q$ conjugate to a linear Anosov map.*

Remark 1.1. If E^u is not oriented, then the partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism f can be lifted to the orientation cover of E^u. The center stable foliation lifts canonically to a transversely oriented codimension one foliation. Hence, the condition in the third item of Theorem 1 is always fulfillable.

During the proof we establish without restriction on the dimension of the unstable bundle the existence of a Margulis measure, a family of measures whose support lies on unstable leaves:

Theorem 2 (Existence of Margulis measure)**.** *Let $f : M \to M$ be a C^2 partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with a f-invariant compact center foliation with trivial holonomy. Suppose f is centrally transitive. Then there exists a family $\{\mu_x^u\}_{x \in M}$ of measures with the following properties:*

1. *For every $x \in M$ the measure μ_x^u is a regular Borel measure on the unstable leaf L_x^u, and the measure is positive on non-empty open sets within L_x^u.*
2. *The family of measures is invariant under the weakly stable holonomy.*
3. *The family of measures is uniformly expanding under f, i.e. there exists $d > 1$ such that $\mu_{f(x)}^u(f(A)) = d\mu_x^u(A)$ for every open set $A \subset L_x^u$.*

Remark 1.2 (Why codimension one?)**.**
- As mentioned before, the proof of Theorem 1 depends on a result about codimension-one Anosov diffeomorphisms. Consequently, Theorem 1 cannot be extended to a system with higher-dimensional unstable bundle without essential changes of the proof. It should be remarked that a corresponding generalization in the case of Anosov diffeomorphisms that they are always transitive and conjugate to an algebraic automorphism is only conjectured and not yet proved.

- Another difficulty arises at the following point: A finite holonomy is a continuous group action of a finite group of periodic homeomorphisms on a smoothly embedded disk in the manifold M which is a priori not linear. We do not know a lot about these so-called continuous transformation groups if the dimension of the disk is greater than 2.
- Further, the following easy examples illustrate that in the case of a two-dimensional unstable bundle a 2-cover is not enough to eliminate center leaves with non-trivial holonomy. Besides, there can exist f-invariant submanifolds in M which consist of center leaves with non-trivial holonomy.

Example 1.3. 1. Let $A \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ be a hyperbolic matrix with eigenvalues $0 < \lambda < 1 < \mu$. Define the following matrix F_A by $\mathrm{diag}(A, A, \mathrm{id}) \in \mathrm{GL}(5,\mathbb{Z})$ which induces a partially hyperbolic toral automorphism f_A on $\mathbb{T}^5$ with a one-dimensional compact center foliation $\{x\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Let $B := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{id} & 0 \\ \mathrm{id} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(5,\mathbb{Z})$. We define a free action of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ on $\mathbb{T}^5$ by $H := B + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}^T$. Then f_A commutes with this finite group action and $\mathbb{T}^5/Hx \sim x$ is a manifold with a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism F_A induced by f_A. The leaf space of the center foliation is a 4-orbifold with four singular points,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right), (0,0,0,0)$$

with non-trivial holonomy H of order four and twelve singular points of order two.

2. Let $F_A \in \mathrm{SL}(5,\mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix defined above which induces a partially hyperbolic torus automorphism f_A on $\mathbb{T}^5$ with a one-dimensional compact center foliation $\{x\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Let $B := \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{id}, -\mathrm{id}, 1) \in \mathrm{GL}(5,\mathbb{Z})$ and define a free action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\mathbb{T}^5$ by $H := B + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}^T$. Then f_A commutes with this group action and the resulting manifold $\mathbb{T}^5/Hx \sim x$ carries a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism F_A induced by f_A. The leaf space of the center foliation is a 4-orbifold with four tori of singular points:

$$\left\{(x_1, x_2, a, b)^T \,\middle|\, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\right\}, \quad a, b = 0, \frac{1}{2}.$$

One motivation for the topic of this article was the question if the study of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with a uniformly compact center foliation could be restricted to the case of a compact center foliation with trivial holonomy. This would be possible if we show that any system with finite holonomy can be lifted to a finite cover where the holonomy vanishes everywhere. It should be remarked that a priori - without assuming a partially hyperbolic dynamic on the manifold - such a cover does not exist: There exist uniformly compact foliation whose quotient space cannot be finitely covered by a manifold, but is a so-called bad orbifold (see [CH03], section 7 for examples of such foliations which are called bad compact Hausdorff foliations in that article). So, to answer this question dynamical properties, features of compact foliations with finite holonomy and their interplay have to be utilized at the same time. A way to prove this intuition is to show that the center holonomy is globally affected whenever one locally builds in a center leaf with higher holonomy.

Related work: We would like to mention that Theorem 1 overlaps with a result by Gogolev in [Gog11] where he proved a similar statement for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with a uniformly compact center foliation of codimension 2 or with simply connected leaves and one-dimensional unstable direction. The assumption of simply connectedness directly implies trivial center holonomy.

This work is organized as follows: In the first section 2 we introduce and define the setting of this article and prove some preliminary results we need in the main proofs. In the subsequent sections the items of Theorem 1 are proved, every one in a separate section. Consequently, we start with the proof of the central transitivity in Section 4 where the first part is dedicated to the chain recurrent set and his decomposition (valid for any dimensions of the invariant foliations), and we go on with the proof of the trivial holonomy of the center foliation under the assumption of a one-dimensional oriented unstable subbundle. Section 6 is employed by the construction of a family of measures with support on the unstable leaves. This family is essential for the proof of the conjugacy between the quotient dynamic and a hyperbolic torus automorphism in Section 7.

Acknowledgements. I am deeply indebted to Christian Bonatti for his time to discuss the results of this paper with me. Further, I would like to thank Sébastien Alvarez for discussions about the Margulis measures and his helpful comments in this aspect.

2. Background

In the following paragraphs we introduce the basic concepts and the setting of this article, and we motivate our assumptions of a uniformly compact center foliation.

Remark 2.1. We assume a compact center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$ and accordingly, every center leaf is an embedded C^1-manifold in M. The center bundle E^c is a continuous subbundle of TM as a consequence of the definition of partial hyperbolicity, and the center foliation is tangent to this continuous distribution. We call such a foliation with C^1-leaves tangent to a continuous distribution a $C^{1,0+}$*-foliation.*

2.1. Uniformly compact foliations.

We shortly recall the notion of holonomy that we use in this article: We consider a closed path $\gamma : [0,1] \to L$ with $\gamma(0) = x \in L$ which lies entirely inside one leaf L. We define a homeomorphism H_γ on a smooth disk T of dimension $q = \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}$ transversely embedded to the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ at x which fixes x and maps intersection points of a nearby leaf L' onto each other following the path γ. We call it the *holonomy homeomorphism along the path* γ. The definition of H_γ (class of germs of H_γ) only depends on the homotopy class $[\gamma]$ of γ. Hence, we obtain a group homomorphism

$$\pi_1(L, x) \to Homeo(\mathbb{R}^q, 0),$$

where $Homeo(\mathbb{R}^q, 0)$ denotes the classes of germs of homeomorphisms $\mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^q$ which fix the origin. The image of this group homomorphism is called the *holonomy group* of the leaf L and denoted by $\operatorname{Hol}(L, x)$. By taking the isomorphism class of this group it does not depend on the original embedding of T in M. It is easily seen that any simply connected leaf has a trivial holonomy group. A classical result (proved in [Hec77] and [EMT77]) states that leaves with trivial holonomy group are generic.

We say that a foliation has *finite holonomy* if the holonomy group $\operatorname{Hol}(L, x)$ for any $x \in M$ is a finite group. Consequently, every holonomy homeomorphism is periodic. We call a foliation whose leaves are all compact and have finite holonomy group a *uniformly compact foliation*. These foliations are also called *compact Hausdorff foliations* in foliation theory referring to the fact that the quotient space of such a foliation is Hausdorff.

Example 2.2 (Partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with non-trivial center holonomy)**.** Bonatti and Wilkinson construct in [BW05] a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism on the 3-torus fibering over the 2-torus which commutes with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on the 3-torus. Hence, the system induces a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism on the resulting quotient manifold with a center foliation by circles and four center leaves with non-trivial holonomy corresponding to the fixed points of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on the 2-torus and coinciding with the singular leaves of the Seifert fibration over the 2-orbifold.

This example can be generalized in the following way:

Example 2.3. Let M be a compact manifold, G a compact Lie group and $H < G$ a finite subgroup which acts freely on $M \times G$ by the canonical product action $(x, g) \mapsto (h.x, gh^{-1})$. Let $f : M \to M$ be a H-equivariant Anosov diffeomorphism, i.e. $f(h.x) = h.f(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $h \in H$. Let $\phi : M \to G$ be a smooth H-invariant map. Then $N := M \times_H G = M \times G/(h.x, g) \sim (x, gh^{-1})$ is a compact manifold and the partially hyperbolic skew product $F_\phi : M \times G \to M \times G$, $F_\phi(x, g) = (f(x), \phi(x)g)$ induces a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism $f_\phi : N \to N$. The center foliation $\{\{x\} \times G/(x \sim h.x)\}$ is a compact foliation and the holonomy group of $W^c(x, g) = G/H_x$ equals the isotropy group H_x of the H-action on M.

Although all leaves are compact manifolds, it can occur that the volume of a ball with increasing radius inside a leaf is unbounded. This cannot occur if the codimension of the foliation is one or two, as shown in [Ree52] for codimension one on non-compact manifolds and in [Eps72] for codimension two on compact manifolds. But Sullivan constructed in [Sul76a] and [Sul76b] a flow on a compact 5-manifold, that is a codimension 4 foliation, such that every orbit is periodic but the length of orbits is unbounded. Later, Epstein and Vogt in [EV78] constructed an example of a foliation by circles with the same properties on a compact 4-manifold. In the following we will therefore assume a compact center foliation with finite holonomy if the codimension is strictly greater than 2.

The reason why we restrict ourselves to uniformly compact foliations is closely related to the point that in that case there exist small saturated neighborhoods $V(L)$ of any leaf L that are foliated bundles $p : V(L) \to L$ and the holonomy group is actually a group. This fact is the content of the Reeb Stability Theorem which is proved in the present form in [CC00]:

Theorem 2.4 (Generalized Reeb Stability)**.** *If L is a compact leaf of a $C^{1,0+}$-foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ and if the holonomy group* $\mathrm{Hol}(L, y)$ *is finite, then there is a normal neighborhood $p : V \to L$ of L in M such that $(V, \mathcal{F}|_V, p)$ is a fiber bundle with finite structure group* $\mathrm{Hol}(L, y)$*. Furthermore, each leaf $L'|_V$ is a covering space $p|_{L'} : L' \to L$ with $k \leqslant |\mathrm{Hol}(L, y)|$ sheets and the leaf L' has a finite holonomy group of order $\frac{|\mathrm{Hol}(L,y)|}{k}$.*

Not only locally, but also globally the leaf space of a uniformly compact foliation has a good structure, as it is a Hausdorff space which is shown in [Eps76] and [Mil75] respectively:

Theorem 2.5 (Epstein, Millet)**.** *Let M be a foliated space with each leaf compact. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *The quotient map $\pi : M \to M/\mathcal{F}$ is closed.*
- *Each leaf has arbitrarily small saturated neighborhoods.*
- *The leaf space $M/\mathcal{F}$ is Hausdorff.*

- *If $K \subset M$ is compact then the saturation $\pi^{-1}\pi K$ of K is compact, this means, the set of leaves meeting a compact set is compact.*
- *The holonomy group on every leaf is finite.*

Further, it can be elementarily proved that the quotient topology is generated by the Hausdorff metric d_H between center leaves in M and hence, $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is a compact metric space.

Remark 2.6. A natural question is if there can exist a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with a f-invariant compact center foliation which is not uniformly compact. There are results which answer this question negatively under additional assumptions by Gogolev in [Gog11] and Carrasco in [Car10].

Remark 2.7. In the case of a trivial center holonomy the resulting leaf space is a topological manifold. We showed in [BB112] that in this case the partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism is dynamically coherent, and accordingly, the center-stable and center-unstable foliations induce stable and unstable topological foliations in the leaf space, the induced homeomorphism F on the leaf space is then expansive and has a local product structure.

2.2. **Finite homeomorphism groups.**

We assume that the holonomy group of any center leaf is a finite group, unless in the case of a codimension-2 center foliation where it is implied by the compactness of the foliation. For every $x \in M$ and $L \in \mathcal{F}^c$ the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}(L, x)$ consists of periodic homeomorphisms and acts continuously on the smooth manifold T transverse to L at $x \in L$. Therefore, we can find some useful results on the fixed point sets of such homeomorphisms of the theory of finite transformation groups which we need and cite here. First, it is quite obvious that any non-trivial periodic homeomorphism of $(-1, 1) \to (-1, 1)$ which leaves the origin fixed reverses the orientation.

Lemma 2.8. *Let Homeo $((-1, 1), 0)$ be the group of germs at $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ of homeomorphisms which leave $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed. Let G be a finite subgroup of Homeo $((-1, 1), 0)$. Then G has at most 2 elements. If G has 2 elements, then one of them reverses orientation.*

Remark 2.9. As a direct implication it follows that every transversely oriented codimension one foliation with finite holonomy has actually trivial holonomy.

The following theorem describes the fixed point set of periodic homeomorphisms of the two-dimensional disk $\mathbb{D}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (announced in [vK19] and [Bro19], proved in [Eil34].)

Theorem 2.10 (Theorem of Kerékjártó)**.** *Suppose $g : \mathbb{D}^2 \to \mathbb{D}^2$ is a periodic homeomorphism of period $n > 1$. Then g is topologically conjugate to a orthogonal matrix $A \in O(2)$, i.e. there is a homeomorphism h such that $g = h^{-1}Ah$. If g is orientation-preserving, the set of fixed points is a single point which is not on the boundary. If g is orientation-reversing, then $g^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and the set of fixed points is a simple arc which divides $\mathbb{D}^2$ into two topological discs which are permuted by g.*

We will need that any periodic homeomorphism on a open disk is conjugate to a finite order rotation around the origin or to a reflection. This is a consequence of the Theorem of Kerékjártó proved in [CK94]:

Corollary 2.11 ([CK94])**.** *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a periodic homeomorphism. Then f is conjugate to a finite order rotation around the origin or to the reflection about the x-axis.*

Unfortunately, equivalent statements in higher dimensions are only available in the case of a differentiable group action: Bochner showed in [Boc45]) that if a compact group of local transformations has a fix point and if it is uniformly smooth ($C^k, k \geqslant 1$), then there exists a smooth local change of coordinates such that the transformations act linearly with respect to this new coordinate system. In particular, if a uniformly compact foliation of codimension q is transversely differentiable, the holonomy group can be assumed to act linearly as a subgroup of $O(q)$ on the transversal.
But Bing constructed in [Bin52] a counterexample of a 2-periodic homeomorphism h of the 3-dimensional euclidean space (or on the 3-sphere) which has a double horned sphere as fixed point set and is therefore not topologically conjugate to a linear map. With this involution one can easily construct a foliation defined by a fibration on a mapping torus $\mathbb{S}^3 \times [0,1]/(x,0) \sim (h(x),1)$ which has leaves (corresponding to the fixed points of h) with a holonomy group generated by this homeomorphism h.

Question 2.12. Does there exist a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with a compact center foliation such that

1. the center holonomy of every leaf is induced by a global group action and
2. the fixed point set of this global group action (corresponding to the center leaves with maximal holonomy) is not a submanifold?

More generally, does there exist a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with a uniformly compact center foliation which has a holonomy group which is not a group of isometries (there does not exist a local coordinate system such that the group acts as a group of isometries with respect to these local coordinates)?

For any dimension we can use the following theorem by Newman in [New31] about the fixed point set of a periodic homeomorphism:

Theorem 2.13 (Newman)**.** *Let M_n be a n-dimensional connected manifold and $g: M_n \to M_n$ a periodic homeomorphism. If the set of fixed points of g has a non-empty interior, then g is the identity map on M_n.*

2.3. Dynamical coherence and structural stability.

Although there exist three foliations tangent to the center, unstable and stable bundle respectively it is not clear that the center unstable bundle $E^{cu} := E^c \oplus E^u$ or the center stable bundle E^{cs} are integrable. A counterexample on the 3-torus is constructed in [HRHU10]. The property in question is defined as follows: A partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism f is called *dynamically coherent*, if there exist a center stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$ tangent to $E^{cs} = E^s \oplus E^c$ and a center unstable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$ tangent to $E^{cu} = E^c \oplus E^u$.
Dynamical coherence is essential for our investigation of partially hyperbolic systems with a f-invariant uniformly compact center foliation. Due to dynamical coherence any smooth manifold transversal to a center manifold $L^c(x)$ is foliated by stable and unstable leaves induced by the center-stable and center-unstable foliation.
We showed in [BB112] that every partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with a f-invariant uniformly compact center foliation is dynamically coherent. Further, using the shadowing property for center leaves we showed in [BB112] that $(f, \mathcal{F}^c)$ is plaque expansive and hence, structurally stable. This means, we can perturbe f a bit in order to get a diffeomorphism as smooth as we want without changing the dynamical properties.

2.4. Codimension 2 and Codimension 3.

Before we start with the proofs of our results we outline the proof of Theorem 1 for the case that the center foliation has codimension two or three. In these cases the low codimension allow a more direct approach:

2.4.1. *Codimension 2:* We recall that we do not have to assume a finite holonomy in this case as it is implied by the compactness of leaves (cp. [Eps72]). As $\dim E^u = \dim E^s = 1$ the center holonomy is trivial if the stable and unstable bundle are oriented. So, by lifting the diffeomorphism to the at most 4-sheeted orientation cover of M we can assume that the center foliation is without holonomy. The 2-dimensional leaf space $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is then a topological manifold and the partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism f induces an expansive homeomorphism F on $M/\mathcal{F}^c$. A theorem by Lewowicz in [Lew89] implies then that F is conjugate to a hyperbolic toral automorphism. The proof that a 2-sheeted cover suffices to eliminate the center holonomy follows the lines of Section 5 (see proof in Subsection 5.2.1). Further, the result is more precise in this case: We can easily conclude that there are either four center leaves with non-trivial holonomy or none. Every non-trivial holonomy homeomorphism is a rotation by π. The leaf space is either a 2-orbifold with four elliptic points and underlying manifold 2-sphere or it is a 2-torus.

2.4.2. *Codimension 3:* Assuming that $\dim E^s = 2$ and $\dim E^u = 1$ we can also completely describe the possible center holonomy groups as we can use the Corollary 2.11 above. So, if E^u and E^s are oriented, we know that every center holonomy homeomorphism is the identity in the unstable direction and a finite rotation in the stable direction. It is left to rule out the possibility of a non-trivial rotation, this is done with the methods of Section 5. Consequently, we have trivial center holonomy and the leaf space is a topological 3-manifold with an induced expansive homeomorphism F. Applying the first item of Theorem 1, proved below, a Theorem by Vietiez in [Vie99] (together with [Fra70]) implies directly that F is conjugate to a hyperbolic toral automorphism. In contrary to codimension 2, it is indispensable for this conclusion that F is transitive (see Subsection 5.2.2 below). As in the case above, we get a complete description of the possible partially hyperbolic systems with a compact center foliation of codimension 3: The leaf space $M/\mathcal{W}^c$ is either a 3-orbifold $\mathbb{T}^3/(-\mathrm{id})$ with 8 singular points or a 3-torus.

3. THE CHAIN RECURRENT SET OF THE QUOTIENT DYNAMIC

Let f be a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism with a uniformly compact center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$. Denote with F the homeomorphism on the quotient space $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ induced by f. We call the dynamic by F on the leaf space $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ shortly the *quotient dynamic*. The results in this subsection hold in this general setting without any restrictions on the codimension of the center foliation. Let $L_i \in \mathcal{F}^c$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ denote center leaves during this proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$ we call a ϵ*-chain* of center leaves from L to L' a finite sequence $\{L_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of center leaves such that $d_H(f(L_i), L_{i+1}) < \epsilon$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ and $L_0 = L$ and $L_n = L'$. A leaf L is *chain recurrent* if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a ϵ-chain from L to L. Let denote the set of chain recurrent leaves with $\mathrm{CR}(F)$ called *chain recurrent set*. We denote with

$$\mathrm{CR}(L) := \left\{ L' \in \mathcal{F}^c \,\middle|\, \forall \epsilon > 0 \; \exists \; \epsilon - \text{chain from } L \text{ to } L' \text{ and one from } L' \text{ to } L \right\}$$

the *chain recurrent class* of a center leaf L. Then the chain-recurrent set $\mathrm{CR}(F)$ is a union $\bigcup \mathrm{CR}(L)$ of chain-recurrent classes. Each chain recurrent class is a compact $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated and f-invariant set. We denote for $L \in \mathcal{F}^c$ with $\mathcal{F}^s_{loc}(L)$ the union of local stable leaves through the center leaf L, i.e. $\bigcup_{z \in L} L^s_{loc}(z)$, and equivalently with $\mathcal{F}^u_{loc}(L) = \bigcup_{z \in L} L^u_{loc}(z)$.
We can then prove the following results about the chain recurrent classes of F:

Lemma 3.1. *The induced homeomorphism* $F : M/\mathcal{F}^c \to M/\mathcal{F}^c$ *on the leaf space has only finitely many chain-recurrent classes.*

Proof. Assume that there are infinitely many chain-recurrent classes. Then for every $\delta > 0$ there exist center leaves L_1 and L_2 such that $\mathrm{CR}(L_1) \cap \mathrm{CR}(L_2) = \varnothing$ and $L_2 \subset B_H(L_1, \delta)$. We choose $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Then the intersection of $\mathcal{F}^u_{loc}(L_1)$ with $\mathcal{F}^s_{loc}(L_2)$ and conversely of $\mathcal{F}^s_{loc}(L_1)$ with $\mathcal{F}^u_{loc}(L_2)$ contain at least one point, and we can construct for every $\epsilon > 0$ a ϵ-chain which connect $\mathrm{CR}(L_1)$ and $\mathrm{CR}(L_2)$ and conversely. This implies the equality of both chain recurrent classes: $\mathrm{CR}(L_1) = \mathrm{CR}(L_2)$.

□

We denote the finite chain recurrent classes with $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$. It is $\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n \Omega_i} = \mathrm{CR}(F)$. For all $L \in \mathcal{F}^c$ define the compact sets $\omega(L) = \bigcap_{n \geqslant 0} \overline{\left(\bigcup_{k \geqslant n} f^k(L)\right)}$ and $\alpha(L) = \bigcap_{n \geqslant 0} \overline{\left(\bigcup_{k \geqslant n} f^{-k}(L)\right)}$, called *$\omega$- and α-limit sets*. First, we recall the following fact:

Claim 3.2. *For all* $L \in \mathcal{F}^c$ *there exists* $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ *such that* $\omega(L) \subset \Omega_i$ *and* $\alpha(L) \subset \Omega_j$.

The finite number of chain recurrent classes $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_n$ composes the chain recurrent set $\mathrm{CR}(F)$. There exists a natural way to order the chain recurrent classes: We say that $\Omega_i \leqslant \Omega_j$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a ϵ-pseudo orbit $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of center leaves such that $d_H(L_n, \Omega_i) \to 0$ for $n \to -\infty$ and $d_H(L_n, \Omega_j) \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. This order on the set of chain recurrent classes together with the finiteness of chain recurrent classes implies directly the following corollary, due to Conley theory, see [Con78]:

Corollary 3.3. *There exists a chain-recurrent class* $\Omega \subset \mathrm{CR}(F)$ *which is a repeller, i.e. there exists a* $\mathcal{F}^c$*-saturated neighborhood* $U \supset \Omega$ *such that* $f^{-1}(\overline{U}) \subset U$ *and* $\bigcap_{n \geqslant 0} f^{-n} U = \Omega$.

We recall that we proved in [BB112] the dynamical coherence of f and further the so-called completeness, that is, every center stable leaf L^{cs} of the center stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$ tangent to $E^c \oplus E^s$ is equal to the union of stable leaves through one center leaf $L \subset L^{cs}$, what we denote with the stable leaf $\mathcal{F}^s(L)$ of the center leaf L. With this notation, we state the following property which plays a crucial role in the proof of transitivity:

Lemma 3.4.

$$\bigcup_{L \in \mathrm{CR}(F)} \mathcal{F}^s(L) = M.$$

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{F}^c$ be an arbitrary center leaf. Claim 3.2 implies that there exists a chain recurrent class Ω_i such that $\omega(L) \subset \Omega_i$. Consequently, there exists $n > 0$ and $L_n \subset \Omega_i$ such that $f^n L$ and L_n are sufficiently close such that there is a center leaf $L'_n \subset \mathcal{F}^u_{loc}(L_n) \cap \mathcal{F}^s_{loc}(f^n L)$ contained in the intersection of the center stable with the center unstable leaf. We prove that $L'_n \subset \Omega_i$:

Claim 3.5. *With the notations above it is* $L'_n \subset \Omega_i$.

Proof. It is $\alpha(L'_n) = \alpha(L_n)$ because $L'_n \subset \mathcal{F}^u_{loc}(L_n)$, and therefore $\alpha(L'_n) \subset \Omega_i$. On the other hand, $L'_n \subset \mathcal{F}^s_{loc}(f^n L)$, so $\omega(L'_n) = \omega(L) \subset \Omega_i$. As L'_n is chain recurrent to $\alpha(L'_n)$ and $\omega(L'_n)$, this implies that $L'_n \subset \Omega_i$. □

This implies directly that $f^n L \subset \mathcal{F}^s(L'_n)$ with $L'_n \subset \Omega_i$. Hence, $L \subset \mathcal{F}^s(\Omega_i)$. □

Because every center stable leaf $L^{cs} \in \mathcal{F}^{cs}$ is by the completeness of the foliations equal to the stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^s(L)$ through any center leaf $L \subset L^{cs}$ we get the following consequence of the previous Lemma:

Corollary 3.6. *For every center stable leaf L^{cs} there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $L^{cs} \cap \Omega_i \neq \varnothing$.*

With the help of the previous results we can now prove the transitivity of F under the additional hypothesis of a one-dimensional unstable direction.

4. Central transitivity

We proceed with the proof of the central transitivity, the first item of Theorem 1. The central transitivity of f is equivalent to the transitivity of the induced homeomorphism F on the leaf space which is a compact metric space with induced (probably) singular stable and unstable foliations. Recall that Newhouse in [New70] proved that any codimension one Anosov diffeomorphism is transitive. We can not adapt his proof to our setting as it essentially requires differentiability and the existence of non-singular foliations which provide a local product structure but we can use the main idea of a simplified proof by Hiraide in [Hir01] of Newhouse's theorem. The adaption of this idea is not straightforward as points are substituted by compact center manifolds with probably non-trivial holonomy in our case. So we do not have a local product structure. In fact, a local stable leaf may intersect a local unstable leaf several times (cp. Example in [BW05] and the discussion of its pathological behavior in [BB112]). The main ingredient to accomplish the proof is the Shadowing Lemma proved in [BB112] and the decomposition of the chain recurrent set (see below) in the setting of a uniformly compact center foliation.
The following Theorem is identical to Theorem 1(1).

Theorem 3 (Theorem 1(1))**.** *Let $f : M \to M$ be a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism with a uniformly compact f-invariant center foliation. Suppose that* $\dim E^u = 1$. *Then f is centrally transitive.*

Remark 4.1. First, we observe that Theorem 3 proved under the additional assumption of an orientable unstable bundle E^u implies Theorem 3. If the unstable bundle is non-orientable, the whole system f can be lifted to a partially hyperbolic system $\tilde{f}$ on the 2-cover $\tilde{M}$ of orientation of E^u and $\tilde{f}$ fulfills all the assumptions of Theorem 3 plus orientability of E^u, hence, the diffeomorphism $\tilde{f}$ is centrally transitive and consequently, f is centrally transitive.

Remark 4.2. In the following we assume that the codimension of the center foliation is greater or equal than three. The case of a **codimension 2 center foliation** can be treated in a far more easier way without using central transitivity as we explained above in Subsection 2.4 and Subsection 5.2.1 respectively.

From now on we suppose that E^u is one-dimensional and oriented. As a consequence, the center-stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$ is without center holonomy. The proof of the theorem divides into the following two steps:

Proposition 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, the diffeomorphism f is centrally chain transitive, i.e. $F : M/\mathcal{F}^c \to M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is chain transitive.*

Proposition 4.4. *Let $f : M \to M$ be a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism with a uniformly compact center foliation. If f is centrally chain transitive, then f is centrally transitive.*

The proof of Proposition 4.4 is a direct implication of the Shadowing Lemma on the leaf space, proved in [BB112]. Clearly, Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 imply Theorem 3. So the main step is the proof of Proposition 4.3.

4.1. **Proof of Proposition 4.3.** The notations used in this subsection are introduced in Section 3 above. We consider a repeller $\Omega \subset M$ and a $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated neighborhood $U \supset \Omega$ such that $U \cap \Omega_j = \varnothing$ for any other chain-recurrent class Ω_j. For all center leaves $L \in \Omega$ it is $\mathcal{F}^s(L) \subset \Omega$. For the proof of Proposition 4.3 we show the following Lemma:

Lemma 4.5 (Principal Lemma)**.** *Assume there exists a repeller $\Omega \neq M$. For any open $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated neighborhood $U \supset \Omega$ such that $U \cap \mathrm{CR}(F) = \Omega$ there exists a center leaf $L \subset U \setminus \Omega$ such that $\mathcal{F}^s(L) \subset U$.*

Proof. Proposition 4.3 This Lemma together with the previous results about the chain recurrent classes in Section 3 implies Proposition 4.3 directly: Lemma 4.5 implies that there exists $L \subset U$ such that $\mathcal{F}^s(L) \subset U$. As $\bigcup_{L' \in \mathrm{CR}(F)} \mathcal{F}^s(L') = M$ with Corollary 3.6 the intersection $\mathcal{F}^s(L) \cap \mathrm{CR}(F) \neq \varnothing$. Hence, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $L' \subset \Omega_j$ such that $\mathcal{F}^s(L) = \mathcal{F}^s(L')$. This implies that $L' \subset U \cap \Omega_j$ contradicting the assumption that $U \cap \mathrm{CR}(F) = \Omega$. So we have $\Omega = M$. □

We prove now the Principal Lemma 4.5 in several smaller steps:

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f : M \to M$ be a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism with a f-invariant uniformly compact center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$. Assume $\dim E^u = 1$ and E^u is oriented. Further, let $\Omega \neq M$ be a $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated repeller with respect to the dynamic F of the center foliation. Then there exist finitely many $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated neighborhoods $V_i \subset U$ with $\bigcup \operatorname{int} V_i \supset \Omega$ such that*

- *there is a homeomorphism $\phi : V_i \to U_i \times [-1, 1]$ where*
- *U_i is a tubular neighborhood of $L_i \in \mathcal{F}^c$ inside $\mathcal{F}^s(L_i)$ and $p_i : U_i \to L_i$ fibers over L_i and*
- *$\phi_i^{-1}(\{x\} \times (-1, 1))$ coincides with a unstable segment of the unstable foliation.*

Further, every border plaque homeomorphic to $U_i \times \{\pm 1\}$ is either contained in the interior of Ω or it lies completely outside of Ω. Especially, there exists i such that the plaque $U_i \times \{\epsilon\}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ is disjoint from Ω.

Proof. Under the assumptions above we showed that f is dynamically coherent, hence, the center stable foliation is subfoliated by the stable and center foliation. Therefore, we can apply the Reeb Stability Theorem to the uniformly compact foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$ inside the center stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$. This together with the compactness of the repeller Ω gives us directly the existence of finitely many open $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated sets $W_j \subset U$ inside the center stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$ through Ω such that every set W_j is a tubular neighborhood of a center leaf L_j and $p_j : W_j \to L_j$ is a fiber bundle where every fiber is a stable disk inside $\mathcal{F}^s(L_j) \cap W_j$.
The unstable foliation $\mathcal{F}^u$ is one-dimensional, oriented and transverse to the center stable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$. The center unstable foliation is by the dynamical coherence subfoliated by the unstable and center foliation. By applying the Reeb Stability Theorem to the uniformly compact center foliation inside the center unstable foliation we get $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated tubular neighborhoods Y_k such that Y_k is homeomorphic to $L_k \times (-1, 1)$ where L_k is a center leaf inside Y_k. Every Y_k is trivially foliated as a product because the holonomy along center leaves is trivial. We fit both sets of $\mathcal{F}^c$-tubular neighborhoods (W_j) and (Y_k) together by centering them in the same center leaf L_k of Y_k and by shrinking them if necessary such that we obtain some compact $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated sets V_i which are homeomorphic to $U_i \times [-1, 1]$ where every U_i has the same properties as W_j above. This gives the first three items of the Lemma.
The last item is a direct consequence of the fact that Ω is a repeller and $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$-saturated. Therefore any tubular neighborhood U_i inside a center stable leaf lies either completely inside or outside of Ω.

We have now a cover with compact sets of Ω inside the open neighborhood U of Ω. By changing a bit the parametrization of the unstable foliation of the compact sets V_i we could assure that there exists one V_i such that either $U_i \times \{1\}$ or $U_i \times \{-1\}$ lie completely outside Ω.

$\square$

Remark 4.7.
1. For simplicity, we denote the $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated stable plaque through $x \in U$ by U_x abbreviating $\phi_i^{-1}(U_i \times \{t\})$. We also identify V_i with its homeomorphic image $U_i \times [-1, 1]$.
2. As every unstable leaf of $\mathcal{F}^u$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ we have a natural order on every unstable segment.
3. By changing the orientation of $\mathcal{F}^u$ we can assume that there exists i such that $U_i \times \{1\}$ lies outside Ω.

From now on we fix a cover (V_i) of Ω with the properties given by Lemma 4.6. In every neighborhood $U_i \times [-1, 1]$ if possible we choose points $m_i \in \Omega$ which are the maximal points of intersection with respect to the orientation of E^u, i.e. the set $L^u_+(m_i) = \left\{ z \in L^u(m_i) \,\middle|\, z > m_i \right\}$ does not intersect Ω.

Lemma 4.8. *There exists a compact set* $\Delta \subset L^{cs}(m_1)$ *such that*
1. Δ *contains all plaques* U_{m_j} *of points* m_j *where* $m_j \in L^{cs}(m_1)$ *and*
2. $L^{cs}(m_1) \setminus \Delta$ *is path-connected.*

For any $m_k \in L^{cs}(m_1)$ the stable leaf $L^s(m_k)$ intersects $L^c(m_1)$ because of the dynamical coherence.

Claim 4.9. *For any* $m_k \in L^{cs}(m_1)$ *there exists* $C_k > 0$ *such that the stable projection distance from* $L^c(m_k)$ *to* $L^c(m_1)$ *is bounded by* C_k*.*

Proof. As Ω is covered by finitely many neighborhoods V_i, we find a finite sequence of $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated stable plaques $U_i, i = 1, \ldots, l_k$ such that $U_i \cap U_{i+1} \neq \varnothing$ and $m_k \in U_0$ and $m_1 \in P_{l_k}$. As the sets U_i by choice are tubular neighborhoods of a center leaf, the stable projection diameter is bounded by some $c > 0$. By the finiteness there exists $C_k = l_k * c > 0$ such that the stable distance from $L^c(m_k)$ to $L^c(m_1)$ is bounded by C_k. $\square$

As there are only finitely many plaques $U_{m_k} \subset L^{cs}(m_1)$ there is a maximal $C = \max C_k$. We define Δ_0 as the $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturate of the union of closed disks D^s of size C in every stable leaf inside $L^{cs}(m_1)$ which intersects a plaque U_{m_k}, so it is compact as a finite union of compact sets, and the saturate is also compact. The proof of the following proposition finishes the proof of the Lemma in the following way: We define Δ as the corresponding compact set $L^{cs}(m_1) \supset \Delta \supset \Delta_0$ such that $L^{cs}(m_1) \setminus \Delta$ is connected where the existence of such a set Δ is due to Proposition 4.10:

Proposition 4.10. *For every center stable leaf* $L^{cs} \in \mathcal{F}^{cs}$ *and for every compact set* $K \subset L^{cs}$ *there exists a compact set* $N \subset L^{cs}$ *such that* $K \subset N$ *and* $L^{cs} \setminus N$ *is connected.*

With the help of the following Lemma we can prove Proposition 4.10:

Lemma 4.11. *For every center stable leaf* $L^{cs} \in \mathcal{F}^{cs}$ *there exists a center leaf* $L_0 \in L^{cs}$ *such that for every stable manifold* $L^s \subset L^{cs}$ *it holds that* L^s *cuts* L_0 *in exactly one point.*

The center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$ is a uniformly compact foliation and the manifold M is compact, hence, there exists a maximal cardinality of the holonomy groups of the center leaves. Consequently, there exists for every center stable leaf $L^{cs} \in \mathcal{F}^{cs}$ a center leaf $L_0 \subset L^{cs}$ with maximal holonomy inside L^{cs}, this means, for every

center leaf $L_1 \subset L^{cs}$ it holds that $|\operatorname{Hol}(L_1)| \leqslant |\operatorname{Hol}(L_0)|$.
We prove now the following Lemma which immediately implies Lemma 4.11:

Lemma 4.12. *Let $L^{cs} \in \mathcal{F}^{cs}$ be a center stable leaf and let $L_0 \in L^{cs}$ be a center leaf such that $|\operatorname{Hol}(L_1)| \leqslant |\operatorname{Hol}(L_0)|$ for every center leaf $L_1 \subset L^{cs}$. Then L_0 cuts every stable leaf $L^s \subset L^{cs}$ exactly once.*

Proof. We have fixed a finite cover (V_i) of Ω defined by Lemma 4.6. Every $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated set V_i is homeomorphic to $U_i \times [-1, 1]$. Then there exists a Lebesgue number $\gamma > 0$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$ there exists i such that $\mathcal{F}^c(W^s_\gamma(x)) = \left\{L_y \in \mathcal{F}^c \,\middle|\, y \in W^s_\gamma(x)\right\} \subset U_i$.
Assume there exists $L^s \subset L^{cs}$ such that there are $x_1, x_2 \in L^s \cap L_0$ with $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then we could assume that x_1, x_2 lie inside a local stable manifold $L^s_\gamma(x_1)$ with diameter γ. Consequently, there exists i such that $\mathcal{F}^c(W^s_\gamma(x_1)) \subset U_i$ and therefore this implies $L_0 \subset U_i$. The set U_i is a fiber bundle over a center leaf with locally maximal holonomy group, this means, there exists a center leaf $L_i \subset U_i$ such that $p_i : U_i \to L_i$ is a fiber bundle and $p_i|_{L_0} : L_0 \to L_i$ is a finite covering. As L_0 cuts $W^s_\gamma(x_1)$ at least in two different points x_1, x_2 there exists $x \in L_i$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in p_i^{-1}|_{L_0}(x)$. This implies that $2|\operatorname{Hol}(L_0)| \leqslant |\operatorname{Hol}(L_i)|$ contradicting that L_0 is the center leaf with maximal holonomy inside L^{cs}. □

We need the following Lemma to conclude the proof of Proposition 4.10:

Lemma 4.13. *There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for all center leaves $L \subset L^{cs}$ where L intersects every stable leaf $L^s \subset L^{cs}$ in at most one point holds:*

$$\bigcup_{x \in L} L^s_{2\gamma}(x) \smallsetminus \bigcup_{x \in L} L^s_\gamma(x)$$

is connected.

We denote $\mathcal{F}^s_\gamma(L) := \bigcup_{x \in L} L^s_\gamma(x)$.

Proof. A local stable manifold is a disk, hence, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for any $x \in M$ the local stable manifold $L^s_\gamma(x)$ is a disk inside $L^s(x)$. As the stable leaf $L^s(x)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{d_s}$, this implies that $L^s_{2\gamma}(x) \smallsetminus L^s_\gamma(x)$ is connected.
Because L intersect $L^s(x)$ for every $x \in L$ at most once, the set $\mathcal{F}^s_\gamma(L)$ is a trivial fiber bundle over L, so $\mathcal{F}^s_\gamma(L) = L^s_\gamma(x) \times L$, and hence, $\mathcal{F}^s_{2\gamma}(L) \smallsetminus \mathcal{F}^s_\gamma(L) = (L^s_{2\gamma}(x) \smallsetminus L^s_\gamma(x)) \times L$ is a product of connected sets and therefore connected. □

This directly implies that for any center stable leaf L^{cs} and any center leaf L with the properties of Lemma 4.13 $L^{cs} \smallsetminus \mathcal{F}^s_\gamma(L)$ is connected.

Proposition 4.10. The set K is compact, therefore there exists n such that $f^n K \subset W^s_\gamma(f^n L)$. Choose $N := f^{-n} W^s_\gamma(f^n L)$, then Lemma 4.13 implies the statement. □

We denote with $(x, y)^u \subset L^u_+(x) = \left\{z \in L^u(x) \,\middle|\, x < z < y\right\}$ the open arc in the unstable leaf with $x < y$ with respect to the orientation of $\mathcal{F}^u$.

Lemma 4.14. *For all $x \in L^{cs}(m_1) \smallsetminus \Delta$ there exists $y \in L^u_+(x) \cap \Omega$ such that $(x, y)^u \cap \Omega = \varnothing$.*

Proof. Let $x \in L^{cs}(m_1) \smallsetminus \Delta$ be an arbitrarily chosen point. There exists some neighborhood V_i and a center stable plaque $U_i \times \{p\} = U_x \subset \Omega$ with $p < 1$ within V_i such that x is contained in it. The point x is not inside Δ, hence, there is no maximal point m_i of intersection inside $U_x \cap \Omega$, so there must exist a point $y \in L^u_+(x) \cap \Omega$ with $y > x$ in sense of orientation of E^u. We choose y minimal (with respect to the orientation of E^u) with these properties, so it follows $(x, y)^u \cap \Omega$.
□

We denote with $I_x = (x,y)^u$ where y is defined by Lemma 4.14 above.

Lemma 4.15. *Let $x_1, x_2 \in L^{cs}(m_1) \setminus \Delta$ then for every path γ (up to homotopy) with $\gamma(0) = x_1$ and $\gamma(1) = x_2$ there exists a homeomorphism $H_\gamma : I_{x_1} \to I_{x_2}$.*

Proof. Let $x_0, x_1 \in U_i \times \{t\}$ where U_i is some center stable plaque defined by the cover $(V_i = U_i \times [-1,1])$ of Ω and let γ be a path from x_0 to x_1 inside U_i. The neighborhood V_i is a trivial product of U_i and $[-1,1]$, so we can lift for every $y \in I_{x_1}$ uniquely the path γ to a path γ_y starting at y. We then define $H_\gamma(y) = I_{x_2} \cap \gamma_y$. This map is then a homeomorphism because the path lifting depends continuously on $y \in I_{x_1}$ and the map is injective by the uniqueness of the lifted path and the triviality of the product.
As $W^{cs}(m_1) \setminus \Delta$ is covered by finitely many V_i we can define for any x_0, x_1 the map H_γ as a concatenation of local homeomorphisms defined on every V_i.

□

Lemma 4.16. *Let $x_1 \in L^{cs}(m_1) \setminus \Delta$ and γ a closed path with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x_1$ then $H_\gamma = \mathrm{id}$.*

Proof. Assume $H_\gamma \neq \mathrm{id}$. As H_γ is an orientation preserving homeomorphism of the intervall I_{x_1} there exists a fixed point $t \in I_{x_1}$ such that either the intervall $(t, t+\epsilon)$ or $(t-\epsilon, t)$ is attracted.
The closed path γ can be uniquely lifted to a path γ_t through t inside the center stable leaf $L^{cs}(t)$ through t. The center stable leaf $L^{cs}(t)$ has a germ of a holonomy homeomorphism h_{γ_t} which is not the identity contradicting that the center stable foliation has trivial holonomy. □

Lemma 4.17. *Let $y \in L^u_+(m_1)$ be a point sufficiently close to m_1. Then $L^{cs}(y) \subset U$.*

Proof. Let $\Delta' \subset L^{cs}(m_1)$ be a compact $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated set such that $\Delta \subset \mathrm{int}(\Delta')$. As Δ' is compact we can cover it with finitely many V_i of the cover (V_i). By the choice of Δ' it can be covered by $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated stable plaques U_k inside V_i. Each of these plaques $U_k \cap \Delta'$ can be pushed inside V_i to the $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated stable plaque through $L^{cs}(y)$, i.e. $V_i \cap L^{cs}(y)$ as V_i is a product neighborhood with respect to the center stable foliation - given $y \in L^u_+(m_1)$ sufficiently close to m_1. In this way we obtain a homeomorphic set Δ'_y through y. Fix $y_0 \in \Delta' \setminus \Delta$. By Lemma 4.14 there exists a y_Ω such that $(y_0, y_\Omega)^u \subset L^u_+(y_0)$ does not intersect Ω. We choose then $y \in L^u_+(m_1)$ in such a way that Δ'_y cuts $(y_0, y_\Omega)^u$. Then the holonomy map $H^u_{y_0,x}$ is well-defined for any $x \in L^{cs}(m_1) \setminus \Delta$. The proof of the following claim ends the proof of the Lemma. □

Claim 4.18. *With the notations above, for any $y_0 \in \Delta' \setminus \Delta$ we have*

$$L^{cs}(y) = \Delta'_y \cup \bigcup_{x \in L^{cs}(m_1) \setminus \Delta} H^u_{y_0,x}(\tilde{y}_0)$$

for $\tilde{y}_0 = \Delta'_y \cap (y_0, y_\Omega)^u$.

Proof. The set is actually equal for any point $y_0 \in \Delta' \setminus \Delta$, so it does not depend on the choice of y_0. □

To finish the proof of Theorem 3 we have to prove Proposition 4.4 which is a consequence of the Shadowing Lemma in the leaf space:

Proposition 4.4. In the following we prove that any centrally chain transitive f under the assumptions of Proposition 4.4 is centrally transitive. This is a direct consequence of the Shadowing Lemma which we proved in [BB112] for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with a uniformly compact center foliation: Let x, y be any pair of points in $M/\mathcal{F}^c$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. The Shadowing Lemma implies that there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that every δ-pseudo orbit is ϵ-shadowed. As F is chain transitive, we find for $\delta > 0$ a finite δ-chain $x = x_0, \ldots, x_k = y$ from x to y such that $d_H(f(L_{x_i}), L_{x_{i+1}}) < \delta$ where L_{x_i} denotes the center leaf through x_i. Then there exists w such that $d_H(f^i(L_w), L_{x_i}) < \epsilon$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k$, especially, it is $d_H(L_x, L_w) < \epsilon$ and $d_H(f^k(L_w), L_y) < \epsilon$. So F is transitive. □

5. Trivial Holonomy

We can now show that the center foliation has only trivial holonomy under the assumption of a one-dimensional oriented unstable direction. First, we prove some general preliminary results about the center holonomy group:

5.1. **Center holonomy.** Let $\phi : D^q \to M$ be a smooth embedding of a q-dimensional disk D^q where $q = \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}^c$, $\phi(0) = x$ and $\phi(D^q) =: T$ is transverse at x to $L = L_x \in \mathcal{F}^c$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$ induce foliations on T, called $\mathcal{T}^s$ and $\mathcal{T}^u$ respectively. We denote with H_γ a holonomy homeomorphism generated by $\gamma \in \pi_1(L, x)$, with H_γ^u a holonomy homeomorphism of the center-stable foliation generated by $\gamma \in \pi_1(L, x)$ and with H_γ^s a holonomy homeomorphism of the center-unstable foliation.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f : M \to M$ be a C^1-partially hyperbolic system with a f-invariant uniformly compact center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$. Assume $\dim E^u = 1$. For all $\gamma \in \pi_1(L, x)$, the holonomy map $H_\gamma : T \to T$ is a cartesian product $H_\gamma^u \times H_\gamma^s$. If E^u is oriented, then it holds $H_\gamma^u \equiv \mathrm{id}$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \pi_1(L, x)$ be an arbitrary closed path. The path γ is tangent to a leaf of $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$ and to a leaf of $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$ as the center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$ is subordinate to both foliations. As transversal for H_γ^u we can choose T_x^u, for H_γ^s in an analogous way T_x^s. As f is dynamically coherent and $\mathcal{T}^u$ and $\mathcal{T}^s$ are induced transversal foliations on T, the holonomy $H_\gamma|_{T_x^u}$ coincides with H_γ^u, in an analogous way the holonomy map $H_\gamma|_{T_x^s}$ coincides with H_γ^s. Locally, the transversal foliations $\mathcal{T}^s$ and $\mathcal{T}^u$ induce a product structure on T. Therefore H_γ is the cartesian product of $H_\gamma^u \times H_\gamma^s$ and preserves the foliations $\mathcal{T}^u$ and $\mathcal{T}^s$. If E^u is oriented, then $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$ is a transversely orientable codimension-1 foliation and every holonomy homeomorphism $H_\gamma^u : T_x^u \to T_x^u$ is conjugate to the identity due to Lemma 2.8. □

Remark 5.2. The first statement of Lemma 5.1 that every holonomy map is a cartesian product of the stable and unstable holonomy restricted to the respective center leaf is equally true for all codimensions of a uniformly compact center foliation.

In the following proofs we consider sets of center leaves with a maximal holonomy group, and it is crucial for the proofs to know that such sets are f-invariant. Hence, we need the following:

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathrm{Hol}(L, x)$ be a finite holonomy group of a compact leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}^c$ through $x \in M$. Let $H_\gamma \in \mathrm{Hol}(L)$ be a periodic holonomy homeomorphism. Then the period of $H_\gamma : T \to T$ is constant along an orbit of f, i.e. the period of $H_{f\circ\gamma}$ is equal to the period of H_γ. Every holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}(f^k(L), f^k(x))$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}(L, x)$ of L.*

Proof. Let $H_\gamma \in \mathrm{Hol}(L, x)$ be a periodic holonomy homeomorphism. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the period of H_γ. The path $f \circ \gamma$ generates a holonomy homeomorphism of $L(f(x))$. Because of the invariance of the foliations we conclude that $H_{f\circ\gamma} = f \circ H_\gamma \circ f^{-1} : f(T) \to f(T)$. Hence, the period of $H_{f\circ\gamma}$ is equally k. This induces a bijection between the holonomy homeomorphisms $H_\gamma \in \mathrm{Hol}(L, x)$ and

$H_{f\circ\gamma} \in \mathrm{Hol}(f(L), f(x))$. Accordingly, the order of the whole holonomy group is constant along a f-orbit. ☐

Hence, we can say that f is equivariant under Hol, i.e. $f(H(y)) = H(f(y))$ for any $H \in \mathrm{Hol}$ and $y \in T$.
We define the following set A of all points $x \in M$ whose center leaves have a holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}\,(L, x)$ of maximal order.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f : M \to M$ be a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism with a uniformly compact f-invariant center foliation. Then the following hold:*

1. *There exists a point $x \in M$ such that the order*
$$|\mathrm{Hol}(L_x, x)| = \max_{y\in M} |\mathrm{Hol}(L_y, y)|$$
is maximal.
2. *Assume $\dim E^u = 1$ and E^u is oriented. Then the set*
$$A := \left\{y \in M \;\middle|\; |\mathrm{Hol}(L_y, y)| = |\mathrm{Hol}\,(L_x, x)|\right\}$$
is closed, f-invariant and for any $y \in A$ it holds $T^u_y \subset A$.

Proof. Due to the Reeb Stability Theorem 2.4 the map $x \in M \mapsto |\mathrm{Hol}(L_x, x)|$ is locally upper semi-continuous and as M is compact, there is always a point $x \in M$ with a holonomy group of maximal order. So we can show the second item: First of all, the set A is f-invariant because the holonomy is constant along orbits of f as we showed in Lemma 5.3 above. The set A defined by the maximum of a upper semi-continuous set is a closed set.
Since $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$ is a transversely orientable codimension-1 foliation every holonomy homeomorphism maps T^u_x trivially onto itself. The map H^u_γ coincides with $H_\gamma|_{T^u_x}$ and therefore the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}(W^c(y))$ restricted to T^u_x for every $y \in T^u_x$ is trivial. Choose the transversal T at x to L_x such that $T \subset V$ where V is the neighborhood of Theorem 2.4. Then for every $y \in T^u_x$ it is $|\mathrm{Hol}\,(L_y, y)| \leqslant |\mathrm{Hol}\,(L_x, x)|$ and $p : L_y \to L_x$ is a covering space. For every $y \in V$ the holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}(L_y, y)$ equals the isotropy subgroup of $\mathrm{Hol}(L_x, x)$ for y. As every point $y \in T^u_x$ is fixed by the entire group $\mathrm{Hol}(L_x, x)$, both holonomy groups coincide and $|\mathrm{Hol}(L_y, y)| = |\mathrm{Hol}(L_x, x)|$ is implied.

☐

As an implication we obtain that the set A of center leaves with a holonomy group of maximal order is $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$-saturated if the unstable bundle is one-dimensional and oriented.

Corollary 5.5. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.4 and further, that $\dim E^u = 1$ and E^u is oriented, the set A as defined above is $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$-saturated.*

Proof. As M is a compact manifold and every center leaf is compact with finite holonomy we can find a finite cover of M (and especially of $L^{cu}(x)$) by normal neighborhoods $p_i : V_i \to L^c(x)$ as in Lemma 5.4 above. In every neighborhood V_i it holds that $L^{cu}_{loc}(x) \subset A$ for every $x \in A$ and it follows $L^{cu}(x) \subset A$. ☐

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1(2).**

We can proceed directly with the proof of Theorem 1 (2) and show that the holonomy of every center leaf is trivial if the unstable bundle E^u is one-dimensional and oriented and that otherwise center leaves with non-trivial holonomy are isolated.
Recall that it is shown in [BB112] that f is dynamically coherent.

Consider a local transversal T at x to $L_x \in \mathcal{F}^c$ and the foliations $\mathcal{T}^s$ and $\mathcal{T}^u$ induced by $\mathcal{F}^{cs}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$ respectively on T. We define the set

$$A := \left\{ L \in \mathcal{F}^c \;\middle|\; |\mathrm{Hol}\,(L)| = \max_{y \in M} |\mathrm{Hol}\,(L_y, y)| \right\}$$

of center leaves with holonomy of maximal order. We have shown in Lemma 5.4 that A is non-empty, $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$-saturated, closed and f-invariant.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $f : M \to M$ be a centrally transitive partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism with a f-invariant compact center foliation. Assume $\dim E^u = 1$ and E^u to be oriented. Then the holonomy of any center leaf is trivial.*

Proof. As f is centrally transitive, we can find a dense positive orbit $\{L^c(f^n x)\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ of center leaves.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\{L^c(f^n x)\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a dense orbit of center leaves. For all $y \in \mathcal{F}^s\left(\bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} L^c(f^n x)\right)$ the forward orbit of center leaves $\bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} L^c(f^n y)$ is dense in M.*

Proof. Consider $y \in \mathcal{F}^s\left(\bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} L^c(f^n x)\right)$. Then there exists for some $n \geqslant 0$ a point $w \in L^c(f^n x)$ such that $L^s(y) = L^s(w)$. As w and y lie on a common stable leaf the center leaves $L^c(y)$ and $L^c(w)$ converge to each other with respect to the Hausdorff metric. So we find $N \geqslant 0$ such that $d_H(L^c(f^n y), L^c(f^n w)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $n \geqslant N$. Let $B(z, \epsilon) \subset M$ be a non-empty open ball around an arbitrarily chosen point $z \in M$. As the orbit of center leaves $\bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} L^c(f^n w)$ is dense in M the orbit $\bigcup_{n \geqslant N} L^c(f^n w)$ is still dense and we find $m \geqslant N$ such that $L^c(f^m w) \cap B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \neq \varnothing$ and hence $L^c(f^m y) \cap B(x, \epsilon) \neq \varnothing$. ☐

Choose an arbitrary point $y \in A$ then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that sufficiently close to y there is a point $z \in L^c(f^n x)$. Then there is a non-empty intersection $L^u(y) \cap L^{cs}(z) \ni [z, y]$. The point $[z, y]$ is contained in A as A is $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$-saturated and $[z, y] \in L^{cs}(f^n x)$. So A contains a point $[z, y] \in \mathcal{F}^s\left(\bigcup_{n \geqslant 0} W^c(f^n x)\right)$ and therefore the whole orbit of center leaves which is dense according to Lemma 5.7. The set A is therefore the whole manifold M. As leaves with trivial holonomy are generic, this implies that the maximal order of holonomy groups is one and all center leaves have trivial holonomy. ☐

The last step to finish the proof of (2) is to show that every non-trivial holonomy of every center leaf in M, not in the cover, has order 2 and has only isolated fixed points. We have already shown that the order $|H^s|$ of the holonomy group of any center-unstable leaf is always less equal than the order $|H^u|$ of the holonomy group of any center-stable leaf. As the center holonomy is trivial on a 2-fold cover, every holonomy group has at most order two. So we only have to show that every non-trivial holonomy homeomorphism has only isolated fixed points.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $f : M \to M$ be a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism with an invariant uniformly compact center foliation. Assume $\dim E^u = 1$. Then the center leaves with non-trivial holonomy are isolated.*

Proof. We have shown above that the only non-trivial homeomorphism of the center foliation is of period 2 and reverses the orientation of the unstable bundle. We define as usually the set A of all center leaves $W^c(x)$ with a non-trivial holonomy group of order 2.

So we know that any non-trivial holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}\,(W^c(x))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Consequently, there exists a closed path γ with $\gamma(0) = x$ which generates the non-trivial holonomy homeomorphisms and we denote such a non-trivial holonomy homeomorphism by $H_\gamma = H^s_\gamma \times H^u_\gamma$. The orientation-reversing homeomorphism

$H^u_\gamma : T^u_x \to T^u_x$ has the single point x as fixed point. We assume that $H^s_\gamma : T^s_x \to T^s_x$ has non-isolated fixed points, especially x is non-isolated. So there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset T^s_x$ of fixed points converging to $x \in T^s_x$. We take a ball $B^s_r(x) \subset L^s(x)$ with sufficiently small $r > 0$ such that it is contained in T^s_x. Then we consider $f^{-n}B^s_r(x)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any n the fixed points $f^{-n}z_k$ are still converging to $f^{-n}x$ for $k \to \infty$. Hence, we find n sufficiently large such that $f^{-n}B^s_r(x)$ lies inside infinitely many plaques of $L^{cs}(f^{-n}x)$ accumulating at $f^{-n}(x)$. So $T^u_{f^{-n}x}$ is intersected infinitely many times by these plaques. The set A of center leaves with maximal holonomy is a closed and f-invariant set, such that $f^{-n}x \in A$ and the intersection points are fixed points of the whole holonomy group. Consequently, the holonomy homeomorphism $H^u : T^u_{f^{-n}x} \to T^u_{f^{-n}x}$ has to be the identity. At the same time, the order of the holonomy group is constant along a f-orbit contradicting the assumption.
So we can conclude that the center leaves with non-trivial holonomy are isolated. ☐

5.2.1. *Codimension 2.* To prove Theorem 1 for the case of a compact center foliation with codimension two an alternative way is available which we present here for its own beauty because it utilizes a different idea without recurring to the transitivity of f:
Let $f : M \to M$ be a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism with a compact center foliation and assume $\dim E^u = \dim E^s = 1$. Assume that E^u is oriented. Let A be the set of points $x \in M$ whose center manifold has a holonomy group of maximal order. It follows with Corollary 5.5 that A is a $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$-saturated set. The set A is non-empty, so there exists $x \in A$ such that the center unstable manifold $L^{cu}(x) \subset A$ which is an unbounded set inside the compact set A. Therefore it has to accumulate inside A. Let $z \in A$ denote the accumulation point. Then we find a Reeb stability neighborhood V of z and a sequence $\{D^{cu}_n(x)\}_{n\geqslant N} \subset V$ of center unstable plaques of $L^{cu}(x)$ accumulating at z. The center unstable plaques $D^{cu}_n(x)$ cut the local stable manifold $D^s(z)$ in points x_n converging to z which are therefore fixed points of the center holonomy group $H|_{D^s(z)}$ restricted to the stable direction. As E^s is one-dimensional, this group $H|_{D^s(z)}$ has at most two elements and unless it is the trivial group, z is its unique fixed point. So it is implied that $H|_{D^s(z)}$ is the trivial group, but $z \in A$ which implies that the maximal order of a center holonomy group is one, consequently it is $A = M$ finishing the proof.

5.2.2. *Codimension 3.* This proof is only a sketch to show which kind of arguments might play a role to prove the trivial holonomy:
Let $f : M \to M$ be a partially hyperbolic C^1-diffeomorphism with a uniformly center foliation and assume $\dim E^u = 1, \dim E^s = 2$ and E^u is oriented. Define the set A as above. With the same argument as above we can conclude that for every $x \in A$ an arc $\sigma_x \subset L^s(x)$ lies inside A containing the fixed point of the center holonomy group of x restricted to the stable direction. This induces two one-dimensional foliations on A, a stable one by σ_x and the unstable foliation.
Denote with H the holonomy group of maximal order and lift A to $\tilde{A}$ (such that $\tilde{A}/H = A$), the holonomy cover of H. Then every connected component of $\tilde{A}/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^c$ is a two-dimensional tori. As F is transitive, this is impossible.

6. Margulis measure

We recall Theorem 2 which we prove in this section.

Theorem 4 (Theorem 2)**.** *Let $f : M \to M$ be a C^2 partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with a f-invariant compact center foliation $\mathcal{F}^c$ with trivial holonomy.*

Assume that there exists a dense orbit of center leaves.
Then there exists a family $\{\mu^u_x\}_{x\in M}$ *of regular Borel measures with the following properties:*

1. *For every* $x \in M$ *the measure* μ^u_x *is a regular Borel measure on the unstable leaf* L^u_x*, and the measure is positive on non-empty open sets within* L^u_x*.*
2. *The family of measures is invariant under the weakly stable holonomy.*
3. *The family of measures is uniformly expanding under* f*, i.e. there exists* $d > 1$ *such that* $\mu^u_{f(x)}(f(A)) = d\mu^u_x(A)$ *for every open set* $A \subset L^u_x$ *with compact closure.*

Remark 6.1 (Notations)**.**
- Every leaf of one of the five f-invariant foliation, $\mathcal{F}^u, \mathcal{F}^{cu}, \mathcal{F}^c, \mathcal{F}^{cs}, \mathcal{F}^s$, is a Riemannian manifold, so it is endowed with a Riemannian metric and a volume induced by this metric.
- We denote with $B^u_\epsilon(x) \subset L^u_x$ the set of points inside L^u_x at unstable distance $< \epsilon$ from x.
- We denote with $\mathcal{F}^u_\delta(L^c_x) := \bigcup_{z\in L^c_x} B^u_\delta(z)$, the union of local unstable leaves through a center leaf.

Before we start with the proof we state the following facts which are directly implied by our hypothesis. The first lemma is implied by the existence of a dense orbit of center leaves and the density of periodic center leaves within M - following the classical proof that the stable and unstable foliation of a transitive Anosov diffeomorphism are minimal.

Lemma 6.2 (Minimality of foliations)**.** *For every* $\epsilon > 0$ *and for every pair of points* $x, y \in M$ *the intersection* $B^u_\epsilon(x) \cap L^{cs}_y$ *is non-empty where* $B^u_\epsilon(x)$ *denotes a ball with diameter* ϵ *(with respect to the distance within* L^u_x*) around* x *within the unstable leaf* L^u_x*.*

Thanks to trivial holonomy we can define a stable projection distance between nearby center leaves (cp Section 4.2 in [BB112]):

Lemma 6.3 (stable projection distance)**.** *Let* $f : M \to M$ *be a* C^1 *partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism with a* f*-invariant compact center foliation with trivial holonomy. Then there exists* $C > 0$ *and* $\mu > 0$ *such that for every* $x, y \in M$ *with* $d(x, y) < \mu$ *the following holds:*
- *For any* $z \in L^c_y$ *there exists a unique point* $\Pi_{x,y}(z) \in B^s_{C\mu}(z) \cap \mathcal{F}^u_{C\mu}(L^c_x)$*.*
- *The map* $z \mapsto \Pi_{x,y}(z)$ *is continuous, and we define the* stable projection distance *by*
$$\Delta^s_{proj}(x, y) = \sup_{z\in L^c_y} d^s(z, \Pi_{x,y}(z)).$$
- *The distance* $\Delta^s_{proj}(x, y)$ *is bounded by* μ *and independent of the points inside* L^c_x, L^c_y*, so we can set* $\Delta^s_{proj}(x, y) = \Delta^s_{proj}(L^c_x, L^c_y)$*.*
- *If* $d(x, y) < \mu$ *and* $d(f(x), f(y)) < \mu$*, then there exists* $0 < \lambda < 1$ *such that* $\Delta^s_{proj}(f(x), f(y)) < \lambda\Delta^s_{proj}(x, y)$*.*

The proof of the local version of this Lemma is contained in Section 4.2 of [BB112]. Consider $x, y \in M$ with $d(x, y) < \mu$. Then we can define the *local weakly stable holonomy map* $h^s_{x,y} : B^u_{C\mu}(x) \to B^u_{C\mu}(y)$ for any $z \in B^u_{C\mu}(x)$ such that $z \mapsto h^s(z) := L^{cs}_z \cap B^u_{C\mu}(y)$ is well defined and continuous. If we saturate the two open balls $B^u_{C\mu}(x)$ and $B^u_{C\mu}(y)$ inside L^{cu}_x and L^{cu}_y by the center foliation then it is clear by the definition and the trivial holonomy of the center foliation that for any $z \in B^u_{C\mu}(x)$ the map $L^c_z \mapsto L^c_{h(z)}$ is well defined.

Now we can state a direct corollary of Lemma 6.2 and the compactness of M:

Corollary 6.4. *Let* $A \subset L^{cu}_y$ *be a non-empty open set whose closure* $\overline{A}$ *is compact inside* L^u_y*. Then there exists* $\epsilon(A) > 0$ *and* $r(A) > 0$ *such that for every* $x \in M$ *the set* $B^u_{r(A)}(x)$ *cuts* $\mathcal{F}^{cs}(A)$ *and* $h^s(\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_{r(A)}(x)))$ *is a subset* C *of* $\mathcal{F}^c(A)$ *such that the stable projection distance between* $\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_{r(A)}(x))$ *and* C *is bounded by* $\epsilon(A) > 0$*.*

After the minimality of the center stable foliation the second crucial property which is necessary for the construction of the family of measures is the absolute continuity of the strong stable and strong unstable foliations. The original proof for Anosov diffeomorphisms is due to Anosov, for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms it was proven by Brin and Pesin, Pugh and Shub:

Theorem 5 ([BP74],[PS72])**.** *The stable and unstable foliation of a* C^2 *partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism have absolutely continuous holonomies, i.e. given* $x, y \in M$ *sufficiently close,* T_x, T_y *disks, transversally embedded to the local stable (or unstable) foliation and the holonomy* $h : T_x \to T_y$*,* $z \mapsto L^s_{loc} \cap T_y$*, then*

$$\mathrm{vol}_{T_y}(A) = \int_A Jac(h(t))d\,\mathrm{vol}_{T_x}(t)$$

for every measurable set $A \subset T_y$*. The jacobian is bounded from above and below away from zero. Moreover, if the distance* $d(x, y) \to 0$*, then the jacobian* $Jac(h)$ *of the corresponding holonomy converges uniformly to* 1*.*

With the help of this property we can prove the following Lemma:

Lemma 6.5 (Absolute continuity)**.** *Let* $A \subset L^u_y$ *be a non-empty open set whose closure* $\overline{A}$ *is compact inside* L^u_y*. Then there exists a constant* $c(A) > 0$ *such that for every* $x \in M$ *it holds that*

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}_{L^{cu}_{f^n(x)}}(f^n(\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_{r(A)}(x))))}{(\mathrm{vol}_{L^{cu}_{f^n(y)}}(f^n(\mathcal{F}^c(A)))} < c(A)$$

for all $n \geqslant 0$*.*

Proof. For every $x \in M$ Corollary 6.4 implies that the unstable ball $B^u_{r(A)}(x)$ cuts $\mathcal{F}^{cs}(A)$ and that the stable projection distance between $\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_{r(A)}(x))$ and a $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturated subset C of $\mathcal{F}^c(A)$ is bounded by $\epsilon(A)$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geqslant N$ the stable projection distance is smaller than μ such that the local stable holonomy h^s is well defined. Then it holds that

$$\mathrm{vol}_{L^{cu}_{f^n(x)}}(f^n(\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_{r(A)}(x)))) \leqslant \sup|Jac(h^s)|\,\mathrm{vol}_{L^{cu}_{f^n(y)}}(f^n(\mathcal{F}^c(C))).$$

By Theorem 5 the jacobian of h^s is uniformly bounded and with $n \to \infty$ it converges to 1, so there exists a bound $C(A) > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}_{L^{cu}_{f^n(x)}}(f^n(\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_{r(A)}(x)))) \leqslant C(A)\,\mathrm{vol}_{L^{cu}_{f^n(y)}}(f^n(\mathcal{F}^c(A))), \quad \text{for all } n \geqslant N.$$

It is clear that the fraction is bounded for $n = 1, \ldots, N-1$ so we find a constant $c(A) > 0$ as bound of this fraction for all $n \geqslant 0$. ☐

Now we can start with defining the principal objects for the proof of the Theorem: Denote with $C_c(L^u_x)$ the set of continuous functions $\phi : L^u_x \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support. Denote with $C^+_c(L^u_x)$ the subset of positive continuous functions with compact non-empty support. Define the sets

$$C_c := \left\{\phi \,\middle|\, \exists x \in M : \ \phi \in C_c(L^u_x)\right\}, \ C^+_c := \left\{\phi \,\middle|\, \exists x \in M : \ \phi \in C^+_c(L^u_x)\right\}$$

Define the set

$$\mathfrak{L} := \left\{G : C_c \to \mathbb{R} \,\middle|\, \text{for all } x \in M : \ G|_{C_c(L^u_x)} \text{ is a positive linear functional.}\right\}.$$

The set $\mathfrak{L}$ can be embedded into $\prod_{\phi \in C_c^+} \mathbb{R}_\phi$ by the evaluation map $G \mapsto \prod G(\phi)$. The injectivity of this embedding is maybe not obvious: Consider $G, H \in \mathfrak{L}$ which are equal for all positive functions $\phi \in C_c^+$, $G(\phi) = H(\phi)$. Every function $\phi \in C_c$ can be written as the difference of two positive functions, hence, the linearity of G, H implies that $G(\phi) = H(\phi)$ for all $\phi \in C_c$, so it is $G = H$.

The infinite product $\prod_{\phi \in C_c^+} \mathbb{R}_\phi$ is a locally convex topological vector space, endowed with the product topology.

From now on we fix an open non-empty set $A \subset L_y^u$ with compact closure and a function $\phi_0 \in C_c(L_y^u)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0) \supset A$ and $\phi_0 \geqslant \chi_A$.

The next step is the principal difference between Margulis original construction and our adaption. For this we recall the following implication of the trivial holonomy of the center foliation which is just the formulation of Lemma 4.12 for the case of trivial holonomy:

Lemma 6.6. *For every $x \in M$ and every center leaf L^c inside the center unstable leaf L_x^{cu} it holds that L_x^u cuts L^c in exactly one point.*

Thanks to that we can extend for every $x \in M$ every function $\phi \in C_c(L_x^u)$ to a function on L_x^{cu} such that ϕ is constant along every center leaf: Let $\phi \in C_c(L_x^u)$ and denote with $\tilde{\phi}$ the function on L_x^{cu} such that $\tilde{\phi}(z) = \phi(z)$ for all $z \in L_x^u$ and $\tilde{\phi}(z) = \tilde{\phi}(z')$ for every $z' \in L_z^c$. The new support inside L_x^{cu} is then the $\mathcal{F}^c$-saturation of $\operatorname{supp}(\phi)$ and therefore also compact. We define

$$\int \phi \operatorname{vol}_{L_x^{cu}} := \int \tilde{\phi} \operatorname{vol}|_{L_x^{cu}}.$$

With the help of these preliminary definitions and results we can now prove Theorem 2:

Proof of the Theorem. Define

$$F : \phi \in C_c(L_x^u) \mapsto \frac{\int \phi d \operatorname{vol}|_{L_x^{cu}}}{\int \phi_0 d \operatorname{vol}|_{L_y^{cu}}}, \quad \text{for all } x \in M$$

and the subset

$$\mathfrak{L}_0 := \left\{ G \in \mathfrak{L} \;\middle|\; G(\phi_0) = 1 \right\}.$$

It is clearly $F \in \mathfrak{L}_0$. Now define the following application

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{L}_0 \to \mathfrak{L}_0,\ G(\phi) \mapsto \frac{G(\phi \circ f^{-1})}{G(\phi_0 \circ f^{-1})} \text{ for all } \phi \in C_c.$$

By induction it is easily proved that

$$\Phi^n(G)(\phi) = \frac{G(\phi \circ f^{-n})}{G(\phi_0 \circ f^{-n})} \quad \text{for all; } \phi \in C_c,\ n \geqslant 0.$$

Lemma 6.7. *The application Φ is continuous (with respect to the product topology).*

Proof. A basis of the product topology is given by the sets of G such that their image lies inside an open intervall for finitely many fixed functions. So, more precisely, let $\phi_i \in C_c^+$ and I_i be an open interval in $\mathbb{R}_{\phi_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots m$, then the set $U := \left\{ G \in \mathfrak{L}_0 \;\middle|\; G(\phi_i) \in I_i \right\}$ is an open set which belongs to the basis of the topology. The set $\Phi^{-1}U := \left\{ G \;\middle|\Phi(G) \in U \right\} = \left\{ G \;\middle|\Phi(G)(\phi_i) \in I_i \right\}$ is then equal to $\left\{ G \;\middle|G(\phi_i \circ f^{-1}) \in (G(\phi_0 \circ f^{-1}))I_i \right\}$. Certainly, the functions $\phi_i \circ f^{-1}$ are functions inside C_c^+, and $(G(\phi_0 \circ f^{-1}))$ is a constant, so $(G(\phi_0 \circ f^{-1}))I_i$ is still an open intervall. Hence, the set $\left\{ G \;\middle|G(\phi_i \circ f^{-1}) \in (G(\phi_0 \circ f^{-1}))I_i \right\}$ is an open set in the product topology. ☐

Consider $\Phi^n(F)$ for $n \geqslant 0$. Then we can show that for all $n \geqslant 0$ the functionals $\Phi^n(F)$ are contained in a compact subset of $\mathfrak{L}_0$:

Lemma 6.8. *For every $\phi \in C_c^+$ there exist constants $c(\phi), C(\phi) > 0$ such that for all $n \geqslant 0$ it is*

$$\Phi^n(F) \in \prod_{\phi \in C_c^+} [c(\phi), C(\phi)].$$

Proof. Consider $\phi \in C_c^+(L_x^u)$. Define $B := \left\{x \in L_y^u \;\middle|\; \phi_0(x) > \epsilon\right\}$ Then we can find $x_1, \dots, x_N \in L_x^u$ such that $(B_{r(A)}^u(x_i))_i$ cover the compact support $\operatorname{supp}(\phi))$ where $r(B)$ is the constant associated to B by Corollary 6.4. Then it holds for any $n \geqslant 0$ that

$$\int \phi \circ f^{-n} d\operatorname{vol}|_{L_{f^n x}^{cu}} \leqslant \operatorname{vol}|_{L_{f^n x}^{cu}}(\mathcal{F}^c(f^n \operatorname{supp}(\phi)))\,\|\phi\| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{vol}|_{L_{f^n x}^{cu}}(\mathcal{F}^c(f^n B_{r(B)}^u(x_i)))\,\|\phi\|\,.$$

Applying Claim 6.5 we get

$$\int \phi \circ f^{-n} d\operatorname{vol}|_{L_{f^n x}^{cu}} \leqslant N c(B) \operatorname{vol}|_{L_{f^n x}^{cu}}(f^n B)\,\|\phi\|\,.$$

By dividing with $\int \phi_0 \circ f^{-n} d\operatorname{vol}|_{L_{f^n y}^{cu}}$ we have found a finite constant $C(\phi) := \frac{Nc(A)}{\epsilon}\|\phi\|$. Exchanging the roles of ϕ and ϕ_0 we can construct in the same way a lower bound $c(\phi)$. ☐

As $\prod_\phi [c(\phi), C(\phi)]$ is a convex compact set, the convex hull $\operatorname{co}_{n\geqslant 0}(\Phi^n(F))$ is also contained inside $\prod_\phi [c(\phi), C(\phi)]$ and its closure $C_0 := \overline{\operatorname{co}_{n\geqslant 0}(\Phi^n(F))}$ is therefore a compact set.

This implies that the sets $C_k := \overline{\operatorname{co}_{n\geqslant k}(\Phi^n(F))}$ are also compact, and the intersection $C_\infty := \bigcap_{k\geqslant 0} C_k$ is also a compact non-empty set.

Lemma 6.9. *For every $k \geqslant 0$ it is $\Phi(C_k) \subset C_k$.*

Proof. Consider $G = \sum_{i=0}^m c_i \Phi^{n_i}(F)$ with $n_i \geqslant k$ and $\sum_{i=0}^m c_i = 1$. The element G lies inside $\operatorname{co}_{n\geqslant k}(\Phi^n(F))$. We will show by direct calculation that $\Phi(G)$ lies inside $\operatorname{co}_{n\geqslant k+1}(\Phi^n(F))$. Let $\phi \in C_c$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(G(\phi)) &= \Phi(\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \Phi^{n_i}(F))(\phi) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \Phi^{n_i}(F)(\phi \circ f^{-1})}{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \Phi^{n_i}(F)(\phi_0 \circ f^{-1})}\\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \Phi^{n_i+1}(F)(\phi)}{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \Phi^{n_i+1}(F)(\phi_0)}\end{aligned}$$

So $\Phi(G)$ is a finite convex combination with $n_i + 1 \geqslant k+1$ for $i = 0, \dots, m$ and

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i}{\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \Phi^{n_i+1}(F)(\phi_0)} = 1$$

because $\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \Phi^{n_i+1}(F)(\phi_0) = 1$ by definition of F. Thus, $\Phi(G) \in \operatorname{co}_{n\geqslant k+1}(\Phi^n(F))$. Utilizing the continuity of Φ we can conclude. ☐

Lemma 6.10. *Every element $G \in C_\infty$ is holonomy-invariant.*

Proof. Let $G \in C_\infty$. Then for every neighborhood U of G there exists $N \geqslant 0$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^m c_i \Phi^{n_i}(F)$ with $n_i \geqslant N$ lies inside U. Let $\phi, \psi \in C_c^+$ such that $\phi = \psi \circ h^s$. Then we prove the following claim:

Claim 6.11. *For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \geqslant 0$ such that*

$$\int \phi \circ f^{-n} d\operatorname{vol} - \int \psi \circ f^{-n} d\operatorname{vol} \leqslant \epsilon \int \phi_0 \circ f^{-n} d\operatorname{vol}$$

for $n \geqslant N$.

Proof. This is a direct implication of the absolute continuity and Lemma 6.5:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\int \phi \circ f^{-n} d\,\mathrm{vol} - \int \psi \circ f^{-n} d\,\mathrm{vol}}{\int \phi_0 \circ f^{-n} d\,\mathrm{vol}}\\ &= \frac{\int \psi \circ f^{-n} \circ (h^s - 1) d\,\mathrm{vol}}{\int \phi_0 \circ f^{-n} d\,\mathrm{vol}}\\ &\leqslant \sup |Jac(h^s) - 1| \frac{\int \psi \circ f^{-n} d\,\mathrm{vol}}{\int \phi_0 \circ f^{-n} d\,\mathrm{vol}}\end{aligned}$$

The fraction $\frac{\int \psi \circ f^{-n} d\,\mathrm{vol}}{\int \phi_0 \circ f^{-n} d\,\mathrm{vol}}$ for $n \geqslant 0$ is bounded because the functions ψ and ϕ_0 are bounded and the fraction for there supports are bounded by $c(A)$ by Lemma 6.5. As the jacobian of h^s tends to 1 with $n \to \infty$, there exists $N \geqslant 0$ such that the claim is proved. ☐

Hence, for every $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a neighborhood U of G such that $H(\phi)$, $H(\psi)$ lie in small open intervals for $H \in U$ and such that $\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i \Phi^{n_i}(F)$ with $n_i \geqslant N$ lies inside U and with the Claim above we get:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i \Phi^{n_i}(F)(\phi) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i \Phi^{n_i}(F)(\psi) < \epsilon \quad \text{for } n_i \geqslant N.$$

So we can conclude that $G(\phi) = G(\psi)$, and is therefore holonomy-invariant.

☐

The following fixed point theorem holds in our context:

Theorem 6 (Tychonov, Schauder)**.** *Let X be a locally convex topological vector space and $\varnothing \neq E \subset F \subset X$ with compact E and convex F. Then every continuous application $f : F \to E$ has a fixed point.*

Applying this theorem to $\Phi : C_\infty \to C_\infty$ we can conclude that there exists $L \in C_\infty$ such that $\Phi(L) = L$. By the Riesz representation theorem (cp Theorem 2.14 in [Rud87]) there exists an isomorphism between the space of positive linear functionals on $C_c(L^u_x)$ and the space of positive regular Borel measures on L^u_x. Therefore we can map $L|_{C_c(L^u_x)}$ for every $x \in M$ to a positive regular Borel measure μ^u_x on L^u_x. The family $\{\mu^u_x\}_{x \in M}$ is then the family of measures we searched for.

Family of measures is expanding: We still have to prove the last property, namely, that $\{\mu^u_x\}$ is expanding.

Lemma 6.12. *There exists $d > 1$ such that $\mu^u_{f(x)}(f(A)) = d\mu^u_x(A)$ for every non-empty open set $A \subset L^u_x$ and every $x \in M$.*

The existence of a constant d is clear: The functional L is a fixed point Φ, therefore we have

$$\Phi(L)(\phi) = \frac{L(\phi \circ f^{-1})}{L(\phi_0 \circ f^{-1})} = L(\phi) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in C_c,$$

and naturally also $\Phi^k L(\phi) = L(\phi)$ for $k \geqslant 0$. So, it is $d := L(\phi_0 \circ f^{-1})$ and $d^k = L(\phi_0 \circ f^{-k})$. Therefore the main point to prove is that $d > 1$.
For that it suffices to show that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L(\phi_0 \circ f^{-k}) > 1$. As $L \in C_\infty$, for every neighborhood U of L there exists n such that the element $\Phi^n(F) \in U$. Accordingly, the proof of the following Lemma directly implies Lemma 6.12:

Lemma 6.13. *There exists $\alpha > 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geqslant k$ it is $\Phi^n(F)(\phi_0 \circ f^{-k}) > \alpha$.*

Proof. Recall that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0) \subset L^u_y$. Define $B_0 := \{x \in M \mid \phi_0(x) > \epsilon\}$ and $B_k = f^k B_0$.

Lemma 6.14 (Principal Lemma)**.** *For every $n \geqslant 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists $k \geqslant 0$ and open sets $U_i \subset B_k$, pairwise disjoint and holonomy maps $h_i : U_i \to L^u_y$ such that the maximal stable projection distance between U_i and $h_i(U_i)$ is smaller than δ and $\{h_i(U_i)\}$ covers the support $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0) \subset L^u_y$. Further, for all $x \in \operatorname{supp}(\phi_0)$ there exist n pairwise distinct points $x_1 \in U_{j_1}, \dots, x_n \in U_{j_n}$ such that $h_{j_i}(x_i) = x$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

If we fix $N > \frac{2 \max \phi_0}{\epsilon}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the $Jac(h_i) > 1/2$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$, then the Principal Claim above implies in the following way Lemma 6.13 where we denote $\operatorname{vol}(A) := \operatorname{vol}|_{L^{cu}_x}(\mathcal{F}^c(A))$ for any $A \subset L^u_x$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi_0 \circ f^{-n-k} d \operatorname{vol}|_{L^{cu}} &\geqslant \epsilon \operatorname{vol}(B_{k+n}) \\ &\geqslant \epsilon \sum_i \operatorname{vol}(U_i) \\ &> \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum \operatorname{vol}(h_i(U_i)) \\ &\geqslant \frac{\epsilon}{2} N \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $\int \phi_0 \circ f^{-n} d \operatorname{vol}$ we get

$$\frac{\int \phi_0 \circ f^{-n-k} d \operatorname{vol}}{\int \phi_0 f^{-n} d \operatorname{vol}} \geqslant \frac{\epsilon N \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0))}{2 \|\phi_0\| \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0))}.$$

The choice of N then directly finishes the proof.

□

Only the proof of Lemma 6.14 is left.

proof Lemma 6.14. Fix an arbitrary $n \geqslant 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Choose $R > 0$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in B_1$ such that $B^u_R(y_i) \subset B_1$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$ are disjoint inside B_1. Every $B^u_R(y_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ is a non-empty open set with compact closure inside $L^u_{f(y)}$ so that we can apply Corollary 6.4: This implies that there exists $r_i > 0$ and $\epsilon_i > 0$ corresponding to every $B^u_R(y_i)$ with the following property: For $i = 1, \dots n$ it holds that for every $z \in M$ the set $\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_{r_i}(z))$ is homeomorphic to a subset of $\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_R(y_i))$ and the stable projection distance is bounded by $\epsilon_i > 0$.
There exists $n_0 \geqslant 0$ such that $\lambda^{n_0} \max_i \epsilon_i < \delta$ where $0 < \lambda < 1$ is the contraction constant from Lemma 6.3. Further, there exists $N \geqslant n_0$ and $w \in L^u_{f^{-N}y}$ such that $f^{-N}(\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0)) \subset B^u_{\min_i r_i}(w)$. Applying Corollary 6.4 the set $\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_{\min r_i}(w))$ is homeomorphic to a subset of $\mathcal{F}^c(B^u_R(y_i))$ and the stable projection distance is bounded by ϵ_i. By iterating forward with f^N we get that $\mathcal{F}^c(f^N(B^u_{\min r_i}(w)))$ is homeomorphic via a holonomy h_i to a subset $\mathcal{F}^c(U_i) \subset \mathcal{F}^c(f^N(B^u_R(y_i)))$ inside $\mathcal{F}^c(B_{N+1})$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ and the stable projection distance is bounded by $\lambda^N \max_i \epsilon < \delta$. The sets U_i are pairwise disjoint as diffeomorphic images of pairwise disjoint sets. Further, it is $h_i(U_i) \supset \operatorname{supp}(\phi_0) \supset B_0$. Then the union $\bigcup_i h_i(U_i)$ certainly covers $\operatorname{supp}(\phi_0)$, and every $x \in B_0$ is covered by n sets $h_i(U_i)$ and has consequently n different preimages inside B_{N+1}.
By chosing $k = N+1$ and $U_1, \dots, U_n$ as constructed above we prove the Lemma. □

We have now established a holonomy invariant family of measures μ^u_x which are expanding under f, so the proof of Theorem 2 is finished. □

Unbounded sets and atoms. It is a direct corollary that every constructed measure μ^u_x is infinite if and only if the set inside L^u_x is unbounded.

Corollary 6.15. *For any $x \in M$ it holds that $\mu^u_x(A) = \infty$ if and only if $A \subset L^u(x)$ is a unbounded set.*

Proof. One direction is directly implied by the construction of the measure: If $A \subset L^u(x)$ for $x \in M$ is an open set with compact closure then $\mu^u_x(A)$ is finite.
Now consider an unbounded set $A \subset L^u(x)$. Then there exists a sequence (A_n) of open sets $A_n \subset L^u(x)$ with compact closure such that $A_n \subset A_{n+1} \subset A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_{n+1} \setminus A_n$ has positive measure. Hence, we get an strictly increasing sequence $\mu^u(A_n)$ of real numbers which diverges. ☐

A further easy consequence of the minimality of the center stable foliation and the holonomy invariance of $\{\mu^u_x\}$ is the following:

Corollary 6.16. *For every $x \in M$ the measure μ^u_x constructed above is non-atomic.*

Proof. Assume there exists $y \in M$ such that $\mu^u_y(\{y\}) > 0$. The family of measures is expanding under f, therefore, every point $f^n y$ for $n \geqslant 0$ is also an atom with a positive measure $d^n \mu^u_y(\{y\})$.
Consider $B^u_{r(A)}(f^n y)$ for every $n \geqslant 0$, then there exists for every $n \geqslant 0$ a subset C_n of A such that $C_n = h^s(B^u_{r(A)}(f^n y))$ and their measures are consequently equal. It is clear that C_n for all $n \geqslant 0$ has a measure smaller 1 (as a subset of A). Accordingly, there exists $n \geqslant 0$ such that $\mu^u_{f^n y}(f^n(B^u_{r(A)}(y))) > d^n \mu^u_y(\{y\}) > 1 \geqslant \mu^u(C_n)$ contradicting the holonomy invariance of the measure family. ☐

6.0.3. *Induced measure on the quotient space.* Under the hypothesis of this chapter, the quotient space $\pi : M \to M/\mathcal{F}^c$ of the compact center foliation with trivial holonomy is a topological manifold. The family $\{\mu^u_x\}$ of measures can be seen as a family of measures $\{\mu^u_x\}$ on the quotient space such that μ^u_x has its support on the unstable leaf πL^{cu}_x: Every measure μ^u_x is defined on a unstable leaf of the unstable foliation in $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ induced by the center unstable foliation $\mathcal{F}^{cu}$ via π.

Remark 6.17. Oxtoby and Ulam showed in [OU41] that any Borel measure on I^n, the n-dimensional cube, is topologically equivalent to the n-dimensional Lebesgue-Borel measure if and only if it is everywhere positive (that is, positive for non-empty open sets), non-atomic, normalized and vanishes on the boundary. Hence, especially in the case that the unstable bundle is one-dimensional, then every measure μ^u_x restricted to an open intervall is equivalent to Lebesgue.

7. QUOTIENT DYNAMIC IS CONJUGATE TO HYPERBOLIC TORUS AUTOMORPHISM

In this section we follow more or less the proof by Hiraide ([Hir01]) of Frank's Theorem ([Fra70]). So, we refer the interested reader for details to the original proof and enlist here only the main steps ensuring that the lack of differentiability on the quotient space does not create any problems for us.
Frank's Theorem states that any transitive Anosov diffeomorphism with a one-dimensional unstable (or stable) direction is conjugate to a hyperbolic torus automorphism. We establish this statement for the induced dynamics on the quotient space of a compact center foliation with trivial holonomy where the induced unstable foliation is one-dimensional. The quotient space is a priori a topological manifold, and the induced dynamic is a homeomorphism.
Hence, we show the following:

Theorem 7.1 (Theorem 1(3))**.** *Let $f : M \to M$ be a partially hyperbolic C^∞-diffeomorphism with a compact center foliation. Assume that E^u is one-dimensional and oriented. Then $F : M/\mathcal{F}^c \to M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is topologically conjugate to a hyperbolic toral automorphism and $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is homeomorphic to a* codim $\mathcal{F}^c$*-torus.*

Remark 7.2. We know by Theorem 1(1),(2) that the center foliation has only trivial holonomy and that therefore the leaf space $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is a compact topological manifold. The center-unstable and center-stable foliations in M projects to transverse stable and unstable topological foliations in $M/\mathcal{F}^c$. We recall that the map F is shown to be expansive and transitive on the leaf space $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ and it has the (unique) pseudo-orbit tracing property.
The projected measure family $\{\mu_x^u\}$ introduced in the section above consists of non-atomic measures μ_x^u defined on the one-dimensional unstable leaves, positive on non-empty open sets, hence, they are all (up to multiplication by a constant) Lebesgue measures.

Hiraide's proof is divided into four main steps:

1. Every stable manifold L^s is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^s$, $s = \dim \mathcal{F}^s$, and every unstable manifold L^u to $\mathbb{R}$. Assuming that $p \in M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is a fix point of F, we show that $L_p^s \times L_p^u = \mathbb{R}^{s+1}$ is a universal cover of $M/\mathcal{F}^c$.
2. The fundamental group $\pi_1(M/\mathcal{F}^c)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
3. The lifted map $\tilde{F}$ of F on the universal cover $L_p^s \times L_p^u$ is topologically conjugate to a linear hyperbolic map $A \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z})$.
4. Finally, we can conclude that this topological conjugacy descends to a topological conjugacy between F and the hyperbolic toral automorphism ϕ_A induced by A establishing a homeomorphism between $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ and $\mathbb{T}^m = \mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$ as well (what implies that $m = s + 1$).

We only give the proof of the first step (Lemma 7.3 below) as the remaining coincides with Hiraide's proof in [Hir01]. Before stating the Lemma, we need some formalism: We have a uniform local product structure on $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ given by the induced transverse stable and unstable foliations, so there exists a cover of product neighborhoods U such that we have well defined local holonomy homeomorphism $h^u : I \to J$ for any $I \subset L_1^u, J \subset L_2^u$ with $I, J \subset U$ defined by $z \in I \mapsto L_{loc}^s(z) \cap J$. We call any finite composition of local holonomy homeomorphisms a holonomy homeomorphism.
We may assume that $F : M/\mathcal{F}^c \to M/\mathcal{F}^c$ has a fixed point p: In any case it has a periodic point p such that $F^n(p) = p$, so we could prove the statement for $G := F^n$. Then F^n is conjugate to a hyperbolic toral automorphism and this implies that F is conjugate to a hyperbolic toral automorphism.
Therefore, let $p \in M/\mathcal{F}^c$ be a fixed point for F. We have $\dim \mathcal{F}^u = 1$ and - as E^u in M is oriented - we may fix a direction on arcs of $\mathcal{F}^u$. We will construct the simply connected cover for $M/\mathcal{F}$ by $L_p^u \times L_p^s$ which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^q$ with $q = \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}^c$. Let $(x, y) \in L_p^u \times L_p^s$. For any $x \in L_p^u$ we denote with $[p, x]$ the arc inside L_p^u with end points p and x and for any $z \in L_y^u$ with $[y, z]$ the arc inside L_y^u with end points y and z.

Lemma 7.3. *The manifold $M/\mathcal{F}^c$ has a universal cover*

$$\pi_p : L_p^u \times L_p^s \to M/\mathcal{F}^c$$

where $\pi_p(x, y) = z$ such that $\mu^u([p, x]) = \mu^u([y, z])$ and the orientation from p to x is consistent with the orientation from y to z. Further, $L_p^u \times L_p^s$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^q$ where $q = \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F}^c$.

Proof. The most important step in the construction of the universal cover is the proof that the map π_p is well-defined. This is done with the help of the family of measures μ^u on the unstable leaves. The family of measures is invariant under the holonomy homeomorphisms h^u. By the minimality of the foliations it is then implied that for any $(x, y) \in L_p^u \times L_p^s$ that we can map via holonomy a local unstable segment around x onto a local unstable segment around y. Therefore there exists a point $z \in L_y^u$ such that

$$\mu^u([p,x]) = \mu^u([y,z])$$

and the orientation from p to x is consistent with the orientation from y to z. This point is unique as the measure μ^u is non-atomic and positive on any non-empty open interval, accordingly, if there would be two points $z_1 < z_2$ in L_y^u it would follow $\mu^u([y,z_2] \smallsetminus [y,z_1]) = \mu^u((z_1,z_2]) = 0$ and hence, $z_1 = z_2$. Further, every unbounded arc I has the measure $\mu^u(I) = \infty$ as we showed in Corollary 6.15. Therefore the map $\pi_p : L^u(p) \times L^s(p) \to M$ is well-defined by $(x,y) \mapsto \pi_p(x,y) = z$ such that $\mu^u([p,x]) = \mu^u([y,\pi_p(x,y)])$.
Surjectivity: Let $z \in M$. As the stable and unstable manifold through z is dense we find a point $y \in L_p^s \cap L_z^u$. It is also $L_z^s \cap L_p^u \neq \varnothing$. By moving the interval $[y,z] \subset L_y^u$ via holonomy along the stable foliation to L_p^u, we can define $x \in L_p^u$ such that $\mu^u([p,x]) = mu^u([y,z])$.
Continuity: The point $\pi_p((x,y))$ is contained in a product neighborhood and as μ^u is holonomy-invariant we can conclude that $\pi_p(x,y) \in L^s(x) \cap L^u(y)$ and π_p is continuous (as the canonical coordinates are continuous). *Covering:* Let $z \in M$ and $N \subset M$ be a product neighborhood for z, $N = B_\epsilon^u(z) \times B_\epsilon^s(z)$ then then for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small there are several disjoint stable sets $I^s \subset L_p^s$ such that $I_s \subset L_p^s \cap \mathcal{F}^u(B_\epsilon^s(z))$ which are all homeomorphic to $B_\epsilon^s(z)$ by holonomy. These correspond to disjoint unstable segments $I^u \subset L_p^u$. So the set $\pi_p^{-1}(N)$ is a union of disjoint sets homeomorphic to N.
Further, $L_p^u \times L_p^s$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{q-1}$ as they are stable and unstable leaves, and so the cover is simply connected. It can be concluded that the map $\pi_p : L_p^u \times L_p^s \to M/\mathcal{F}^c$ is a universal cover for $M/\mathcal{F}^c$. ☐

The remaining three steps to conclude follow exactly Hiraide's proof in [Hir01] and are restated with details and the notation as above in [Boh11].

References

[BB112] Partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with uniformly compact center foliation: the quotient dynamics, 2012. (Cited on pages 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14, 16, and 19.)

[Bin52] R.H. Bing. A homeomorphism between the 3-sphere and the sum of two solid horned spheres. *Ann. of Math.*, 56(2):354–362, 1952. (Cited on page 7.)

[Boc45] S. Bochner. Compact groups of differentiable transformations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 46:372–381, 1945. (Cited on page 7.)

[Boh11] Doris Bohnet. *Partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with a compact center foliation with finite holonomy.* PhD thesis, University of Hamburg, 2011. (Cited on page 27.)

[BP74] M. I. Brin and Ja. B. Pesin. Partially hyperbolic dynamical systems. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 38:170–212, 1974. (Cited on page 20.)

[Bro19] L. E. J. Brouwer. Über die periodischen Transformationen der Kugel. *Mathematische Annalen*, 80:39–41, 1919. (Cited on page 6.)

[BW05] C. Bonatti and A. Wilkinson. Transitive partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms on 3-manifolds. *Topology*, 44(3):475–508, 2005. (Cited on pages 5 and 10.)

[Car10] P. Carrasco. *Compact dynamical foliations.* PhD thesis, University of Toronto, 2010. (Cited on page 6.)

[CC00] A. Candel and L. Conlon. *Foliations. I*, volume 23 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. (Cited on page 5.)

[CH03] Hellen Colman and Steven Hurder. Ls-category of compact hausdorff foliations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, 356:1463–1487, 2003. (Cited on page 3.)

[CK94] A. Constantin and B. Kolev. The theorem of Kerékjártó on periodic homeomorphisms of the disc and the sphere. *Enseign. Math.*, 40(3-4):193–204, 1994. (Cited on page 6.)

[Con78] C. Conley. *Isolated invariant sets and the Morse index*, volume 38 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1978. (Cited on page 9.)

[Eil34] S. Eilenberg. Sur les transformations périodiques de la surface de sphère. *Fund. Math.*, 22:28–41, 1934. (Cited on page 6.)

[EMT77] D.B.A. Epstein, K. Millet, and D. Tischler. Leaves without holonomy. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s2-16(3):548–552, 1977. (Cited on page 4.)

[Eps72] D.B.A. Epstein. Periodic flows on three-manifolds. *Ann. of Math.*, 95:66–82, 1972. (Cited on pages 5 and 8.)

[Eps76] D.B.A. Epstein. Foliations with all leaves compact. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 26(1):265–282, 1976. (Cited on page 5.)

[EV78] D.B.A. Epstein and E. Vogt. A counterexample to the periodic orbit conjecture in codimension 3. *Ann. of Math.*, 108(3):539–552, 1978. (Cited on page 5.)

[Fra70] J. Franks. Anosov diffeomorphisms. In *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, volume 14, pages 61–94. AMS, 1970. (Cited on pages 1, 8, and 25.)

[Gog11] Andrey Gogolev. Partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with compact center foliations. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 5(4):747–769, 2011. (Cited on pages 3 and 6.)

[Hec77] G. Hector. Feuilletages en cylindres. In *Geometry and topology (Proc. III Latin Amer. School of Math., Inst. Mat. Pura Aplicada CNPq, Rio de Janeiro, 1976)*, pages 252–270. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 597. Springer, Berlin, 1977. (Cited on page 4.)

[Hir01] K. Hiraide. A simple proof of the franks-newhouse theorem on codimension-one anosov diffeomorphisms. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 21:801–806, 2001. (Cited on pages 10, 25, 26, and 27.)

[HRHU10] F. Rodriguez Hertz, M. A. Rodriguez-Hertz, and R. Ures. A non-dynamically coherent example in 3-torus. Preprint, 2010. (Cited on pages 1 and 7.)

[Lew89] J. Lewowicz. Expansive homeomorphisms of surfaces. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Math. (N.S.)*, 20(1):113–133, 1989. (Cited on page 8.)

[Mil75] K. C. Millett. Compact foliations. In *Differential topology and geometry (Proc. Colloq., Dijon, 1974)*, pages 277–287. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 484. Springer, Berlin, 1975. (Cited on page 5.)

[New31] M.H.A. Newman. A theorem on periodic transformations of spaces. *Quart. J. Math.*, 2, 1931. (Cited on page 7.)

[New70] S. E. Newhouse. On codimension one Anosov diffeomorphisms. *Amer. J. Math.*, 92:761–770, 1970. (Cited on pages 1 and 10.)

[OU41] J. C. Oxtoby and S. M. Ulam. Measure-preserving homeomorphisms and metrical transitivity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 42:874–920, 1941. (Cited on page 25.)

[PS72] C. Pugh and M. Shub. Ergodicity of Anosov actions. *Invent. Math.*, 15:1–23, 1972. (Cited on page 20.)

[Ree52] G. Reeb. *Sur certaines propriétés topologiques des variétés feuilletées.* Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1183. Hermann & Cie., Paris, 1952. Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Strasbourg 11, pp. 5–89, 155–156. (Cited on page 5.)

[Rud87] Walter Rudin. Real and complex analysis, 1987. (Cited on page 23.)

[Sul76a] D. Sullivan. A counterexample to the periodic orbit conjecture. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (46):5–14, 1976. (Cited on page 5.)

[Sul76b] D. Sullivan. A new flow. *Bull. Amer. Math. Sco.*, 82(2):331–332, 1976. (Cited on page 5.)

[Vie99] J. Vieitez. A 3D-manifold with a uniform local product structure is T3. *Publ. Mat. Urug.*, 8:47–62, 1999. (Cited on page 8.)

[vK19] B. von Kerékjártó. Über Transformationen des ebenen Kreisringes. *Mathematische Annalen*, 80:33–35, 1919. (Cited on page 6.)

[Wil98] A. Wilkinson. Stable ergodicity of the time-one map of a geodesic flow. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 18(6):1545–1587, 1998. (Cited on page 1.)

Université de Bourgogne, France.
E-mail address: `Doris.Bohnet@u-bourgogne.fr`